

EXHIBIT D

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-3283 (LTS)
)
) Title III
The Financial Oversight and)
Management Board for)
Puerto Rico,) (Jointly Administered)
)
as representative of)
)
The Commonwealth of)
Puerto Rico, *et al.*,) November 7, 2018
)
Debtors.)

In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-4780 (LTS)
)
) (Jointly Administered)
The Financial Oversight and)
Management Board for)
Puerto Rico,)
)
as representative of)
)
Puerto Rico Electric)
Power Authority,)
)
Debtor.)

1
2
3 In Re:) Docket No. 3:17-BK-3567 (LTS)
4)
5) (Jointly Administered)
6 The Financial Oversight and)
7 Management Board for)
8 Puerto Rico,)
9)
10 as representative of)
11)
12 Puerto Rico Highways)
13 and Transportation)
14 Authority,)
15)
16 Debtor.)

17
18 OMNIBUS HEARING
19
20 BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
21
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
23

24
25 APPEARANCES:
26
27 For The Commonwealth
28 of Puerto Rico, et al.: Mr. Martin Bienenstock, PHV
29 Mr. Brian Rosen, PHV
30 Appearing in New York
31 Mr. Hermann Bauer, Esq.
32
33 For the U.S. Trustee
34 Region 21: Ms. Monsita Lecaroz Arribas, AUST
35
36 For Official Committee
37 of Unsecured Creditors: Mr. Luc Despins, PHV
38 Mr. Juan Casillas Ayala, Esq.
39
40 APPEARANCES, Continued:
41
42 For U.S. Bank National
43 Association: Mr. Clark T. Whitmore, PHV
44 Mr. John T. Duffey, PHV
45

1 For Puerto Rico Fiscal
Agency and Financial
2 Advisory Authority: Ms. Suzzanne Uhland, PHV
Mr. Luis Marini Biaggi, Esq.
3 Ms. Carolina Velaz Rivero, Esq.
For Puerto Rico
4 Electric Power
Authority: Mr. Kevin Finger, PHV
5 Mr. Nathan Haynes, PHV
Ms. Katuska Bolaños Lugo, Esq.
6
For Pan American
7 Grain Company, Inc.: Ms. Maria Figueroa y Morgade, Esq.
8
For Cooperative de
Ahorro y Credito
9 Vegabajeña: Mr. Carlos Quilichini Paz, Esq.
10 Fee Examiner: Mr. Brady Williamson, PHV
Ms. Katherine Stadler, PHV
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 Proceedings recorded by stenography. Transcript produced by
CAT.
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESSES:

PAGE

None offered.

EXHIBITS:

None offered.

1 San Juan, Puerto Rico

2 November 7, 2018

3 At or about 9:45 AM

4 * * *

5 THE COURT: Good morning and welcome to counsel,
6 parties in interest, members of the public and the press here
7 in San Juan, those observing here and in New York and the
8 telephonic participants. As always, it is good to be here in
9 San Juan.

10 A brief reminder. The rules regarding devices in the
11 courtroom, not to be used for communication or recording,
12 apply to these and all proceedings, both here and in New York.
13 And I'm grateful for your continued compliance with those
14 principles.

15 I would now call upon counsel for the Oversight Board
16 to begin the status report. Mr. Bienenstock.

17 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you. Good morning, Judge
18 Swain. Martin Bienenstock of Proskauer Rose for the Oversight
19 Board.

20 Your Honor's request for a status report identified a
21 few issues, the first of which was a report about the
22 evaluation of recent disclosures of McKinsey Security
23 Holdings. Your Honor, my firm, Proskauer, represents McKinsey
24 in various matters, so the Oversight Board asked another of
25 its legal advisors, the Luskin & Stern firm, to look into

1 | this. And Michael Luskin, who is in New York, I can see him
2 | now on the video, is prepared to respond to that portion of
3 | the status report, if that's okay.

4 | THE COURT: Thank you. That's much appreciated.

5 | And so, Mr. Luskin, good morning.

6 | I'm not able to hear Mr. Luskin, so we'll need to
7 | have the sound checked. Let's hold on for a minute.

8 | MR. LUSKIN: Can you hear me? I'm at the lectern
9 | mic.

10 | THE COURT: We're hearing you now. Thank you. Good
11 | morning.

12 | MR. LUSKIN: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor, and
13 | thank you for allowing me to appear by video. This is my
14 | first in person appearance in these proceedings and I'm happy
15 | to be here.

16 | I am here, as Mr. Bienenstock referred, to confirm my
17 | retention by the Board, my very recent retention by the Board
18 | on this particular matter, which is to investigate the
19 | consequences, the facts and implications of recent disclosures
20 | concerning McKinsey and its work for the Board and its
21 | holdings with Puerto Rico public debt.

22 | As I said, this is at the very beginning of my
23 | investigation. It will culminate in a public report, not only
24 | on the factual findings, but also the Board's own procedures
25 | and policies concerning (inaudible) --

1 THE COURT: You'll need to speak directly into the
2 microphone. You're starting to fade.

3 Did you say that your work will culminate in a public
4 report?

5 MR. LUSKIN: Yes, I did, Your Honor.

6 The Board has made very clear that this is to be a
7 transparent proceeding, that the report will be public. I
8 expect it will take a couple of months at least, but it will
9 culminate in a public report.

10 So I have no substance to report now. I really just
11 wanted to introduce myself and to assure the Court and parties
12 in interest that this matter is being taken, of course, very
13 seriously by the Board, and we're doing what I think the Court
14 and parties would expect us to be doing.

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 MR. LUSKIN: Unless the Court has any questions, I'm
17 happy to yield the microphone back to Mr. Bienenstock to
18 continue the status report.

19 THE COURT: I just -- you may have mentioned this,
20 but do you have a particular time frame and prospect for the
21 work?

22 MR. LUSKIN: I'm currently anticipating it will take
23 a couple of months, a few months. I'd really like to get this
24 done by year end, if at all possible.

25 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Luskin.

1 MR. LUSKIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 In terms of the status report about the anticipated
4 timetables for the proposal of adjustment, plans of adjustment
5 of Title VI, Title VI Qualifying Modifications, I can report
6 as follows: As the Court knows better than anyone else,
7 yesterday the order was entered approving the Qualifying
8 Modification for GDB, which was a major step forward, handles
9 about four to five billion dollars of the 74 billion
10 approximate amount of bond debt that we're trying to
11 restructure.

12 The COFINA deal that resulted from the process Your
13 Honor kicked off with the approval of a stipulation way back
14 towards the beginning of the case led to a proposed plan of
15 adjustment that has already been filed. And the proposed
16 disclosure statement is to be heard, I believe, in less than
17 the next two weeks. I think it's --

18 THE COURT: November 20th.

19 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Oh, November 20.

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 MR. BIENENSTOCK: And if that is approved, and
22 nothing goes wrong, including tinkering with the deal through
23 legislation, et cetera, we hope to -- we hope that that will
24 be confirmed in January of 2019.

25 The next major matter -- they're all major matters,

1 but the next major debt restructuring that I can report on is
2 PREPA. PREPA, as Your Honor knows, has approximately eight to
3 nine billion dollars of bond debt and several billion more of
4 other types of financial debt and other debt.

5 The first two segments of my report on PREPA are
6 based on information that we've received from PREPA's
7 representatives. In terms of its cash position, as of
8 November 2, operating cash balances were approximately 327
9 million dollars. Because the Commonwealth debtor in
10 possession loan facility requires the cash on hand above 300
11 million dollars be paid to the Commonwealth on account of the
12 loan, a payment of 27 million dollars was made on November 2,
13 2018, leaving a debtor in possession loan balance of
14 approximately 147 million dollars as of that date.

15 PREPA's operational cash receipts are currently
16 sufficient to serve as expenditures for operations. During
17 the month of October, average weekly cash collections from
18 customers were approximately 65 million dollars. PREPA,
19 therefore, should not require additional financing for
20 operations in the near term. I want to emphasize that's
21 operations.

22 As the Court knows, because of the hurricane, there
23 was lots of repair and replacement to do, and some of it -- of
24 course we get significant amounts from the Federal Government,
25 but that doesn't cover everything.

1 THE COURT: Have the Federal Government dollars
2 actually been coming in? I understand that there are some
3 respects in which federal money has been approved but not
4 actually disbursed.

5 MR. BIENENSTOCK: I'm not aware of that money coming
6 in, but the Federal Government has obviously expended major
7 funds for repair and replacement, which are funds that PREPA
8 would otherwise have to expend. So in that sense, we've been
9 the beneficiary of very substantial federal funds for the last
10 year.

11 In terms of PREPA operations, PREPA is moving forward
12 with reviewing responses to several requests for proposals for
13 generation -- for generation for different parts of the
14 island.

15 As Your Honor knows, the -- when we speak about the
16 restructuring of PREPA, unlike the restructuring of some of
17 the other entities, we're really talking about two
18 restructurings proceeding simultaneously. One is for the
19 debt. The other is to transform the method of generation from
20 fossil fuels to other fuels that are both less expensive and
21 cleaner. And it's somewhat tricky to coordinate the two, but
22 that's what's happening.

23 As a practical matter, we believe investors will want
24 to know what amount of debt they need to prepare to carry
25 going forward, or that will be carried somehow going forward.

1 And we are anxious to deal with the debt in any event, so both
2 processes are proceeding simultaneously.

3 PREPA continues to run the generation fleet as
4 economically as possible, while keeping in mind the
5 overarching goal of maintaining grid resiliency. PREPA is
6 actively pursuing different alternatives for generation
7 resources or a conversion to cleaner burning fuels, such as
8 liquid natural gas.

9 Power has been restored to over 99 percent of the
10 customers on the island. Current average weekly generation
11 delivered to the power grid is approximately 93 percent of
12 2016 levels. Approximately 96 percent of the island's 342
13 substations, and 96 percent of the 56 transmission centers are
14 energized. Approximately 88 percent of 103 large transmission
15 lines are fully in service.

16 In respect of the debt restructuring aspect of PREPA,
17 Your Honor, PREPA, the Oversight Board and AAFAF entered into
18 a preliminary Restructuring Support Agreement, RSA, with an Ad
19 Hoc Group of uninsured bondholders in July 2018, which has
20 been extended several times and remains in place to allow the
21 parties to complete negotiations on a more comprehensive RSA,
22 for which we expect to seek Court approval when it's done.

23 The consenting holders hold a significant amount of
24 the outstanding approximate 8.8 billion of PREPA bond debt.
25 We will be meeting this week, and in fact, I believe it's in a

1 few hours in New York, with the Ad Hoc Group and
2 significantly, one of the monoline insurers that filed the
3 receiver motion to continue working through certain provisions
4 of a definitive agreement.

5 So we're trying to expand the group from the
6 uninsured bondholders that we did the initial RSA with to
7 include the monolines that obviously they are the insurers of
8 the insured group of bonds, and they have significant
9 exposures and therefore, are significant to any debt
10 restructuring.

11 We have also reviewed our current proposal with other
12 monoline insurers that are party to the receiver motion. So
13 there's communication and progress with both the insured and
14 uninsured bonds.

15 It's hard to predict timing, but we certainly hope,
16 and we know the bondholders hope, that we're talking about
17 something that will culminate in at least a request for this
18 Court's approval of the RSA in a matter of months when we
19 might have a final plan of adjustment. We want it as soon as
20 possible, but it's really hard to -- with any reliability, to
21 give Your Honor a sense of when that might be possible.

22 As far as the transformation process, the process to
23 transform the electric sector is proceeding on schedule in
24 accordance with the Fiscal Plan.

25 The following is a summary of the recent and updated

1 milestones from the Fiscal Plan. The Public-Private
2 Partnership, P3 Authority completed a market sounding process
3 in mid June, which confirmed significant industry interest in
4 a concession transaction for the private operation of the
5 transmission and distribution system.

6 The P3 Authority posted a Request for Qualifications,
7 an RFQ, on October 31 asking interested parties to submit
8 their qualifications for operating and improving the
9 transmission and distribution system, with responses due on
10 December 5, 2018. The RFQ has been filed under an informative
11 motion with this Court.

12 The P3 Authority will then issue a request for
13 proposal seeking bids from the qualified candidates. And
14 supporting legislation and the integrated resource plan are
15 also in process and are expected to be completed in January.

16 So, Your Honor, we're -- as the Court can tell,
17 simultaneous progress is being made, both on the physical
18 transformation and on the debt restructuring. And it is so
19 critical to reenergize growth on the island -- the cost of
20 power is a fundamental factor in future growth -- that it's in
21 everyone's interest, the government's, the people's and the
22 creditors' to get this done as quickly as possible, and that's
23 what we're trying to do.

24 THE COURT: And the qualifications and proposals that
25 are being reviewed in this current P3 related process, that's

1 for an entity that will move and make more concrete the
2 visioning for the transformation? Is that the phase or --

3 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Well, they will propose exactly how
4 they would like to generate power, what type of fuel they will
5 use, and the economic terms on which they're willing to do
6 that and how much they're willing to pay for the right to do
7 that.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, the other major debt
10 restructurings, some of which can be handled independently and
11 some which have interrelationships, are basically the
12 Commonwealth, HTA, PRASA, PBA, UPR and others. And some of
13 these, most likely UPR, but not definitely, are more prone to
14 a Title VI than a Title III.

15 What I can tell you about these is not nearly as
16 specific as what I could advise the Court about PREPA, but I
17 can say that in every single one of these situations, there
18 have been and are ongoing negotiations with either all or a
19 subset of the creditors of each entity.

20 As Your Honor knows, to provide an example of the
21 interconnectedness, there's a dispute between creditors of the
22 Commonwealth on the one hand and creditors of HTA on the other
23 as to whether the so-called clawback revenues can and should
24 be clawed back from HTA to the Commonwealth and on what
25 conditions.

1 That might create the need to propose or confirm both
2 plans at once for HTA and the Commonwealth or not. It's not
3 necessarily critical. But negotiations are ongoing with
4 keeping those things in mind.

5 In some cases, things like toll hikes or other
6 increased rates have to be part of the discussion, and the
7 government is involved in that. And I can, from personal
8 knowledge, say that every day is spent working on these
9 things. But again, to give the Court a timetable would be
10 really more guesswork than probabilistic.

11 I will say that if at all possible, we want to pull
12 together restructurings that could be heard in some form or
13 final form by the Court by this summer. That may or may not
14 be possible with all of them, but certainly with some of them.

15 THE COURT: That is good news.

16 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bienenstock.

18 MR. BIENENSTOCK: The next item on the agenda are the
19 Fee Examiner report and applications, so I'll turn things over
20 to Mr. Williamson.

21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bienenstock.

22 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Mr. Williamson's colleague, Your
23 Honor.

24 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Stadler.

25 MS. STADLER: Good morning, Judge. Katherine Stadler

1 of Godfrey & Kahn on behalf of Brady Williamson, the Fee
2 Examiner, who is also here in person.

3 Judge, we filed our report on the third interim fee
4 period applications last week recommending 28 applications for
5 the Court's approval. Since the filing of that report, one
6 additional application, that of Bennazar, Garcia & Milian, has
7 been resolved. That application is on your agenda at item 11
8 on the deferred list.

9 So we will be moving the Bennazar application to the
10 list of resolved applications that was attached to our report
11 and submitting a Proposed Order, with Your Honor's permission,
12 that will include all of the originally recommended
13 applications, plus that one additional. And we will do that
14 as soon as we have a ruling and instructions from the Court on
15 our recommendations.

16 As you know, our report in this period addressed the
17 McKinsey Consulting applications, all three of the interim
18 pending fee period applications. So we successfully worked
19 through a significant backlog there.

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 MS. STADLER: We tried to carry out Your Honor's
22 instructions in previous hearings and discussions to come up
23 with a methodology for evaluating the reasonableness of the
24 McKinsey fees, notwithstanding the nature of their
25 timekeeping or nontimekeeping, as the case may be.

1 As you know, they are retained on a flat fee that is
2 based on a GSA contract price that the government has
3 negotiated. While the Oversight Board is not technically an
4 entitled user of that GSA price schedule, that pricing has
5 been used as the foundation of the pricing that McKinsey uses.
6 And we've gone through that for you in our report and I'm
7 happy to answer any questions you have about that.

8 The other suggestion that Your Honor made that we
9 took to heart was to try, as we might, to come up with some
10 estimation of time being spent and number of timekeepers. And
11 so the efforts described in the report resulted in the Exhibit
12 B to the report, which is very crude, and I almost feel the
13 need to apologize to McKinsey because I'm quite sure it
14 understates the amount of work and person hours that are put
15 into these projects on a weekly basis.

16 But notwithstanding that, even using this very
17 conservative estimate of hours expended, we've calculated an
18 average blended hourly rate, which is generally consistent
19 with other consultants working on these cases and in the
20 market in general.

21 So with those observations, the Fee Examiner is
22 comfortable now recommending to the Court approval of the
23 McKinsey applications as they are filed based on the reasoning
24 laid out in our report. And I'm happy to answer any questions
25 you may have on that.

1 THE COURT: I would just comment that I commend and
2 very much appreciate the fact that you took my request and
3 guidance to heart, and the way in which you have laid out in
4 the report the nature of the investigations and the benchmarks
5 that you have used to make the evaluation that led you to
6 support approval of the fees, and the insight that you have
7 given us into the extent and nature of the work that is being
8 performed for those fees. So thank you.

9 MS. STADLER: You're welcome, Your Honor.

10 If Your Honor has no other questions, I think a
11 couple of the informative motions indicated that some speakers
12 want to address fee matters. So the Fee Examiner has asked
13 that those people speak first, and then he has a few wrap-up
14 comments he would like to make.

15 THE COURT: I do have a couple of questions --

16 MS. STADLER: Okay.

17 THE COURT: -- before that.

18 MS. STADLER: Yes.

19 THE COURT: The report indicated some discomfort in
20 ongoing discussions with fee increases --

21 MS. STADLER: Yes.

22 THE COURT: -- by service providers.

23 MS. STADLER: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Are those across the board, firm hikes in
25 rates? Are those seniority, promotion related? Can you give

1 me a little bit more color as to what those are?

2 MS. STADLER: Yes, they are both and they are not
3 uniform. As you know, all of the professionals have different
4 engagement agreements with their respective clients, and some
5 of those address issues.

6 For example, the Oversight Board counsel has a flat
7 hourly rate which does not provide for rate increases at all.
8 Others have contracts that explicitly allow them, and most
9 have contracts that don't address whether they are appropriate
10 or not, other than to say we will charge, you know, our
11 pricing based on the following discount model. But the issue
12 of rate increases and adjustments isn't explicitly addressed
13 in most of the engagement agreements of the professionals that
14 we are reviewing.

15 The increases that we have seen encompasses both of
16 those categories that Your Honor just described. Seniority
17 increases, which apply almost exclusively to associates in
18 their first ten years of practice, those tend to be although
19 aren't uniformly imposed in the fall on the employment
20 anniversaries of many associates. And then there are also
21 market adjustments that many firms implement, tending to be in
22 connection with their fiscal year ends, many of which, but not
23 all of which are also at the end of the calendar year.

24 So with this fee period falling fully in 2018 for the
25 first time, we've really seen the impact of the rate increases

1 that might have happened last fall and in January for many
2 firms. And I think as the report previews for you, the Fee
3 Examiner is concerned not so much about the impact so far,
4 although that is calculated for you and is not insignificant,
5 but the potential for exponential --

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MS. STADLER: -- growth and the impact of those rate
8 increases over time, given what we know about the expected
9 timeline of the debt restructuring processes that
10 Mr. Bienenstock just addressed.

11 And so Mr. Williamson, and he'll address this
12 directly I'm sure, but he feels strongly that much as we did
13 with the presumptive standards that we talked about last time,
14 that he would like to propose and put into place presumptive
15 caps on rate increases so that everyone's expectations are
16 clear and so that hopefully we can minimize contested issues
17 over rate increases.

18 At the moment, many of the applications that are on
19 the deferred list are on the deferred list because they have
20 that issue. And we're working very hard with the
21 professionals, and the professionals are working very hard
22 with us to work through those issues and try to bring those to
23 a consensual resolution for the fees that have already been
24 charged.

25 But going forward, Mr. Williamson feels very strongly

1 that in a case like this, there are some limits on rate
2 increases that need to be imposed, and that without explicitly
3 stating them, it creates, you know, a disincentive for people
4 to exercise discipline in the imposition of those rate
5 increases.

6 So much as we have in other areas, he intends to
7 articulate either through a motion or objection, should that
8 be necessary, hopefully through another advisory motion or
9 motion for presumptive standards, we would bring back in
10 December a proposal for a prospective treatment of rate
11 increases that would cover both categories, both the market
12 annual adjustments and the seniority adjustments, which
13 probably will require slightly different treatment to each of
14 them.

15 THE COURT: Well, I am glad to hear that
16 Mr. Williamson is engaging these issues directly, and I will
17 look forward to the results of the current negotiated work and
18 also to his insight and advice as to appropriate structural
19 measures to put in place, because we all know why we're here.

20 We all know how big the job is and how important it
21 is for resources to be maximized for efficient, economical and
22 productive work in creating a platform on which Puerto Rico
23 can go forward with resources that can be used for the
24 island's growth.

25 So thank you, and thanks to all of the professionals

1 who are cooperating in this process.

2 MS. STADLER: Thank you, Judge.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 And so who would like to be heard next on fee issues?

5 I have the names of a couple of people who are in New York.

6 Is there anyone in this courtroom? All right.

7 We'll turn to -- I understand that -- was there
8 someone in New York who wished to be heard on the fee-related
9 issues? No. All right.

10 Then, Mr. Williamson. Thank you. Good morning.

11 MR. WILLIAMSON: Thank you. Good morning, Your
12 Honor. Brady Williamson, Godfrey & Kahn.

13 Let me start with a generic but quite important
14 observation, which is this is the third report we've given the
15 Court. And I think at each juncture, we have commented on the
16 cooperative, collegial approach for which much of the credit
17 goes to the professionals themselves.

18 Now, I know the Court expects that. The Court
19 expects that out of the professionals. The Court expects that
20 of us. But it's noteworthy because as we're now finishing the
21 review of the third period, the issues, quite frankly, are
22 getting more difficult, which means the negotiations take a
23 little longer and the negotiations themselves get more
24 difficult.

25 But with respect to the communication, the collegial

1 nature of the discussions, I think the Court can be satisfied
2 that if there are objections, if there are problems, it will
3 not be for want of trying to avoid them.

4 In about two weeks, Your Honor, the professionals
5 will file their fourth set of fee applications, which then
6 gets us into the second year of the review process. And it's
7 important, I think, to note that we view our challenges as
8 both retrospective, that is, to look at the fee applications,
9 which are always two or three months behind, or the process by
10 definition is. But as the Court could tell from Ms. Stadler's
11 remarks and the report, we're also trying, with the
12 cooperation of the professionals, to be prospective, so that
13 we're not constantly saying, oh, you should have done this,
14 you should have done that.

15 And I think as Ms. Stadler said, the December
16 hearing, I think, will feature at least two points that we'll
17 either bring as a motion or, if necessary, in the form of an
18 objection. But again, our goal is not just hindsight, but
19 hopefully foresight.

20 And as the Court said, while massive resources are
21 needed here in the Commonwealth, they also need to be massive
22 resources applied efficiently. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 Mr. Bienenstock.

25 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, thank you. I just

1 wanted to add one new item that pertains to the discussion
2 that just occurred. It has nothing to do with what
3 Mr. Williamson wants to do or to contradict anything that's
4 been said, but it's simply new information that's going to
5 likely lead to changes that the Court might as well know about
6 now rather than be surprised later.

7 There is legislation that we understand has a
8 likelihood to be enacted in Puerto Rico that will for the
9 first time single out advisors in these cases and tax them,
10 creating -- starting with a withholding tax of 29 percent for
11 time spent working on the case outside of Puerto Rico. If
12 that happens, I know from my own firm and I suspect for other
13 similar firms both in and outside the legal industry, no firm
14 can afford to provide the services for 29 percent less than
15 it's currently providing.

16 So there will probably be adjustments to
17 professionals' fee arrangements that will obviously be in the
18 applications that the Fee Examiner will be looking at, but
19 they will be unavoidable if this legislation is enacted.

20 THE COURT: Are there hearings being conducted at
21 which publicly and formally professionals who will be affected
22 will be able to make this point to the legislators?

23 MR. BIENENSTOCK: To my knowledge, no. We're just
24 being told that this is what's happening. And the sad part
25 about it is it likely will not result in any net benefit to

1 the revenues of the government, because the tax will be offset
2 by increased rates to cover the tax.

3 Just to give Your Honor a sense of the perspective,
4 at the outset of this, I think we were all aware that there
5 was something like a 1.5 percent tax on time spent in Puerto
6 Rico. And we all knew that when we made our fee proposals.
7 But there was never anything like a 29 percent tax on time
8 spent outside Puerto Rico, which is the bulk of the time for
9 most of the professionals.

10 THE COURT: Yes. And when you say withholding --
11 although you say withholding, I think I'm hearing you that
12 this isn't just a timing of money issue. This is a
13 withholding that is understood to be commensurate with
14 intended actual tax collection that under current federal and
15 out-of-state tax principles would not offset other taxes, but
16 would be a net increase, or a net decrease in the revenues?

17 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Well, Your Honor, that's exactly
18 what we're studying before we, and I think the other advisors
19 and professionals, request any type of amendments. If it's a
20 dollar-for-dollar credit against other taxes, it may just be a
21 timing issue and have very little impact. But if it's not
22 just a credit, and I don't think it is, then it's basically a
23 reduction, a very material reduction of what everyone's -- but
24 we will know exactly what it is before we -- at least in my
25 firm's case, but I'm sure in everyone's case, before we

1 propose or suggest any amendments to any fee arrangements.

2 THE COURT: And are you able to -- are you working
3 with AAFAF's counsel to be able to communicate to government
4 interests the complexities of the concerns here?

5 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Your Honor, yesterday I was
6 surprised when I raised it that I was giving them information.

7 THE COURT: Better late than never.

8 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Which is rare. Usually they know
9 before I know. And so yes, the answer to Your Honor is yes,
10 we're discussing it with AAFAF.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 MR. BIENENSTOCK: Yes.

13 THE COURT: That is a matter of great concern if at
14 the end of the day, there are transaction costs and very real
15 out-of-pocket costs in terms of firms seeking to be grossed up
16 for the effect of the tax.

17 So I know that professionals have a deep and personal
18 interest in this, and I hope that there will be effective
19 discussion so that at the end of the day, whatever happens is
20 truly for the benefit of the Commonwealth and doesn't impede
21 these proceedings.

22 Now, I had been given notes that a couple of people
23 might have wanted to speak in relation to the COFINA status
24 from New York, and so I didn't call for that in connection
25 with the status reports, and I apologize for any oversight in

1 that regard.

2 So is there anyone in New York who -- here's someone
3 coming to the podium now. Thank you.

4 MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Brian
5 Rosen of Proskauer Rose. I just wanted to supplement what
6 Mr. Bienenstock said.

7 Your Honor, things are moving very well with respect
8 to the COFINA Plan of Adjustment. And he is correct and you
9 are correct when you were referring to the dates for the
10 upcoming hearings.

11 The other item, though, I wanted to bring to the
12 Court's attention was that on October 18, the Unsecured
13 Creditors' Committee slash Commonwealth agent had filed a
14 motion for a Scheduling Order, and it was in connection with
15 what was referred to as a motion to enforce that they were
16 planning to file by a date certain. After that was filed,
17 Your Honor, there was a period of negotiation between the
18 Oversight Board, the Unsecured Committee slash agent, and also
19 the COFINA agent.

20 And we are happy to report that on Monday, under the
21 cover of an informative motion filed by the Unsecured
22 Creditors' Committee, was attached a stipulation which
23 compromised and settled the motion to enforce, which actually
24 was not even filed.

25 It provides for that matter to be essentially held in

1 abeyance pending events that might or might not occur between
2 now and the hearing on January 16 in connection with the
3 approval of a 9019 motion and the confirmation of the COFINA
4 Plan of Adjustment.

5 Specifically, Your Honor, there is a provision in
6 there with respect to a supplemental certified fiscal plan
7 being filed for the Commonwealth. And if, in fact, that
8 doesn't occur, then the motion to enforce will not be filed.
9 And also, the Unsecured Committee slash Commonwealth agent
10 will not otherwise object to the confirmation of the plan or
11 the 9019 motion for approval in the Commonwealth case, Your
12 Honor.

13 I don't know if the Court had the opportunity to
14 review that informative motion or the stipulation at this
15 point, but I wanted to bring it to the Court's attention.

16 THE COURT: I am in the process of reviewing it.
17 It's something like a 27-page stipulation with many details.
18 So we are in the process of reviewing it.

19 And I take it the request, although it was filed
20 under an informative motion as opposed to a notice of
21 presentment or anything, the request and expectation is that
22 the Court enter it sooner rather than later since one of the
23 provisions is that if it's not entered soon, a November 16
24 deadline comes back?

25 MR. ROSEN: That is correct, Your Honor. The

1 stipulation provided for a so Ordered line for the Court. But
2 yes, it would otherwise require the Creditors' Committee slash
3 agent to file some pleadings by next week.

4 THE COURT: All right. So as I say, we are in the
5 process of reviewing it to ensure that I understand what I am
6 being asked to sign. And if anybody has any major,
7 substantive issues with it, they'd better let -- file an
8 informative motion and let us all know sooner rather than
9 later, because it is my goal to get that in place, as long as
10 I don't have problems with it, sooner rather than later.

11 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 There was someone from Willkie Farr in New York? Did
14 anyone else want to be heard from New York?

15 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, it's Brian Rosen again.
16 Mr. Forman is here, but he said in as much as there's nothing
17 to address, he has nothing further to say.

18 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

19 And I neglected before Ms. Stadler and Mr. Williamson
20 needed to leave to conclude the Fee Examiner portion by saying
21 that the Court approves the Fee Examiner's recommended actions
22 on the fee applications that are listed in the operative
23 schedule to the Fee Examiner's report, with the addition of
24 the formerly deferred item that Ms. Stadler referred to that
25 had been number 11 in the list of deferred items.

1 And the Court expects that the Fee Examiner will
2 promptly be submitting a Proposed Order, and the Court does
3 intend to enter that Order.

4 And so the next item on the Agenda is the Debtors'
5 Motion to Establish the Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures,
6 which is ECF entry number 4052 in case 3283.

7 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, it's Brian Rosen again from
8 Proskauer Rose.

9 Your Honor, we filed this motion, excuse me, and it
10 entailed or it provided a connection within the notice period
11 of October 23. We did not receive any objections to the
12 relief that was being requested in the motion.

13 Specifically, Your Honor, what we had asked to do in
14 there, in the proposed objection procedures, was really to
15 comply with Rule -- Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and essentially to
16 allow us to file Omnibus objections in amounts greater than
17 100.

18 Specifically, as the Court recalls, when I reported
19 previously, there are approximately 165,000 to 170,000 claims
20 that were filed in this case. And as an example of this, in
21 connection with COFINA, there were between 3,500 and 4,000
22 claims filed.

23 Most of those, Your Honor, are multiples of the same
24 bond type of claims and really didn't need to be filed. When
25 we distilled down what the number of claims actually might be

1 in COFINA, they number approximately ten.

2 So, Your Honor, it's obviously important for us to
3 try and remove as many of these claims as expeditiously as
4 possible, specifically in connection with solicitation for the
5 Plan of Adjustment that hopefully will begin by the end of
6 November.

7 So we are envisioning filing multiple Omnibus
8 objections, and we were looking to increase the number of
9 claims which might be included in an Omnibus objection from
10 the 100 to approximately 500.

11 As I said, Your Honor, these are multiples of bond
12 claims, and if we have the claim that's already been allowed,
13 or we will allow with respect to the Trustee, the Bank of New
14 York Mellon, there is no reason for the multiple claims to be
15 on the register.

16 Likewise, Your Honor, there are other claims in
17 COFINA that have nothing to do with COFINA. And to the extent
18 that they are one-off claims and cannot be handled in a common
19 type of form of objection, we will file individual objections
20 to those claims. And we envision there will be approximately
21 50 of those, Your Honor, all of which, though, are for no
22 liability save that they really relate to a different entity
23 or not any of the Title III debtors at all.

24 But specifically, Your Honor, in connection with this
25 Omnibus Procedures Motion, we did, upon consultation with the

1 Creditors' Committee, file an Amended Notice of Revised
2 Procedures. Your Honor, we did that on October 31st. And we
3 included with that a blackline copy of the Proposed Order, as
4 well as slight modifications to the objection procedures
5 themselves.

6 Specifically, Your Honor, we removed the possibility
7 that we could oppose any of these claims on a substantive
8 basis. Rather, they were all nonsubstantive in nature.

9 We wanted to make sure that the notices were both in
10 Spanish and in English. And we provided for the notice of
11 filing of reports with the Court when we do settle some of
12 these claims. This would have been quite possibly the only
13 objection, had it been interposed, but as I indicated, Your
14 Honor, we were able to resolve this with the Creditors'
15 Committee, and that's why we filed the Amended Notice and the
16 Proposed Order.

17 Hopefully, Your Honor, that might allay any concerns
18 the Court might have with respect to this, and we ask the
19 Court to enter the revised Order that we filed under the
20 Amended Notice.

21 THE COURT: The clarifications in the revised Order
22 are quite helpful. I just have one question and one request,
23 I'll call it.

24 So the question is just to confirm that you
25 anticipate noticing up these large Omnibus objections in

1 connection with scheduled Omnibus hearing dates; is that
2 correct?

3 MR. ROSEN: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: All right. And then the request is that
5 we move the reply deadline from two business days before the
6 Omnibus hearing date, which is a travel date for many of us,
7 to seven calendar days before the Omnibus hearing date. Can
8 you live with that?

9 MR. ROSEN: Absolutely, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 MR. ROSEN: We'll make the modifications and if you
12 don't mind, we'll then submit a proposed final Order for the
13 Court's entry.

14 THE COURT: I appreciate that very much. Thank you,
15 Mr. Rosen.

16 And Mr. Despins is coming to the podium here.

17 MR. DESPINS: Good morning, Your Honor. Luc Despins
18 with Paul Hastings on behalf of the Committee.

19 We're happy that we're able to resolve the issues
20 regarding this procedure. I just want to mention because it's
21 important that these are, I would say, the easy claims to deal
22 with. The main event is really dealing with all the other
23 claims.

24 And we are working with the Oversight Board on coming
25 to court with an ADR procedure that would be expedited, that

1 would be streamlined, because as the creditors on my committee
2 will often say, even a plan of adjustment, it would be adopted
3 tomorrow, confirmed tomorrow that would say we get X cents on
4 the dollar, unless these cents are actually given because the
5 claims have been resolved is meaningless, and meaningless also
6 for the economy of Puerto Rico.

7 They need to get those dollars, to spend those
8 dollars. And so, therefore, that process is complex and it's
9 very important. We're working with the Oversight Board on
10 that, hopefully coming back to the Court soon on that.

11 Thank you, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have a sense of a time
13 frame? Yes, I did notice when this was filed that it was
14 the --

15 MR. DESPINS: Easy claims.

16 THE COURT: The easy ones, yes. And so I've been
17 waiting to hear what structure will be proposed for the ones
18 that are more complicated.

19 MR. DESPINS: Well --

20 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, if I could perhaps address
21 that?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. ROSEN: Yes. We have been working with the
24 Unsecured Committee with respect to global procedures, and
25 we've been working with Ms. Uhland, who has been sitting there

1 on behalf of AAFAF, to come up with a concept that works for
2 everyone.

3 Obviously we had hoped to do this in the context of a
4 plan of adjustment, but as Mr. Bienenstock indicated, that is
5 not going to be as timely as we hoped it might be. So we are
6 hoping to finalize these procedures. If we can get it done
7 and filed before year end, that is our goal, Your Honor. We
8 are actively engaged in that dialogue.

9 It will set up a process that will extremely
10 streamline not only the time frame in connection with hearing
11 these matters and bringing them on among the parties, but also
12 the amount of information that might otherwise be required for
13 the person who will be hearing and determining the allowance
14 of these claims so that it would be relatively small paperwork
15 and something easy for the Court or such other person to
16 ascertain.

17 THE COURT: Thank you. And so we might expect to
18 have something in connection with the January Omni or the Omni
19 after that?

20 MR. ROSEN: I would hope it would be considered in
21 connection with January, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

23 MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else we
25 need to address before we move to the contested matters? All

1 right, then.

2 The next agenda item is Pan American Grain Company's
3 Motion for Relief from Stay, which is ECF number 4004 in case
4 3283.

5 Good morning, Ms. Figueroa y Morgade.

6 MS. FIGUEROA Y MORGADE: Yes, Your Honor. Good
7 morning. My name is Maria Mercedes Figueroa y Morgade on
8 behalf of Pan American Grain Company, Inc.

9 Pan American is the movant in the Motion for Relief
10 from Stay to request an Order to execute set-off, as the Court
11 mentioned, at ECF number 4004. In order to efficiently use
12 this time before the Court, Pan American would like to focus
13 today's hearing on the pivotal issue in this matter.

14 Pan American filed the request for set-off in order
15 to use the value of its prepetition bonds due prior to the
16 filing of the Title III case and set-off against a prepetition
17 power service liability owed by Pan American to PREPA.

18 And the pivotal issue here stems from the oppositions
19 filed by the PREPA Trustee, U.S. Bank National Association and
20 PREPA itself. Both of these objectors were -- both of these
21 objectors stem their arguments on the no-action clause
22 included in the Trust Agreement.

23 The objections are well-taken and well-founded, but
24 the Court can use, and Pan American moves the Court to use its
25 equitable powers and just eliminate Pan American from that

1 no-action clause.

2 THE COURT: How is it that the Court could, by use of
3 an equitable power, essentially rewrite a contract and grant a
4 right to a party that does not exist under a contract that
5 binds not only that party but many other similarly situated
6 bondholders, binds the Trustee, and obviously to which the
7 bond issuer is also a party?

8 MS. FIGUEROA Y MORGADE: Well, Your Honor, Pan
9 American is not requesting that under the Court's equitable
10 powers, it rewrite the contract or rewrite the no-action
11 clause. What Pan American is requesting is that under the
12 factual scenario where there are eight billion dollars in debt
13 by PREPA to bondholders, this one million dollar set-off
14 transaction that is allowed under the Bankruptcy Code and is
15 allowed under PROMESA, because it is incorporated in PROMESA's
16 general provisions, that would allow the Court to provide Pan
17 American the opportunity to execute the set-off.

18 If the Court finds -- that is why it's the pivotal
19 issue, is the no-action clause. If the Court finds that the
20 no-action clause is a broad application and will be applied
21 also to Pan American's right to set-off under Section 553 of
22 the Bankruptcy Code, then the matter is over.

23 If the Court finds that there are factual grounds
24 regarding the amounts and the harmless execution of the
25 set-off, which will really not effect other bondholders, will

1 not effect PREPA -- PREPA will receive cash upon the execution
2 of the set-off, and it will not effect the work of the
3 indentured Trustee.

4 THE COURT: Thank you. So I am -- before you sit
5 down, I'm assuming that the facts on which you would want me
6 to focus in such an analysis basically boil down to the
7 question of whether this particular proposed settlement would
8 be de minimis financially in the broad scheme of things.

9 I would ask whether there's any kind of difficulty
10 with that approach when you think -- when I have to consider
11 the possibility that there are other PREPA bondholders who may
12 also be customers. There might also be other bondholders who
13 have some equally compelling rationale out of other commercial
14 transactions so that there would be -- even if this were
15 possible legally, this could be a situation that's not a
16 one-off situation, that would have individualized
17 complications, transaction costs, and ultimately, financially
18 might even add up to something that's not de minimis.

19 Is there a way that you have in mind for me to manage
20 my thinking about this issue that would give me some comfort
21 in that regard?

22 MS. FIGUEROA Y MORGADE: Your Honor, it's really a
23 factual review upon the particular circumstances of what comes
24 before the Court and is requested by other bondholders. There
25 is really no bright light to resolve this case. It has to be

1 on a factual review and fact intensive.

2 And we do understand that the Court would be
3 concerned with how events unfold and if this would open the
4 door to other bondholders coming forward, but each one would
5 have to meet the standard of having factual grounds to come
6 forward and convince the Court of their legal request.

7 Here, Pan American has a right to set-off, and most
8 importantly, Pan American, as a bondholder, did not
9 voluntarily agree to waive its rights to set-off. It did not
10 expressly consent to the application of the no-action clause.
11 And the Trustee Agreement, the indenture contract is really a
12 standard contract that was imposed on Pan American.

13 So what we have here is an entity requesting to
14 set-off to a prepetition debt with PREPA, and that is a
15 statutory right under the Bankruptcy Code applicable in
16 PROMESA.

17 And we have the indenture agreement, but it appears
18 that from the arguments of both the Trustee and PREPA, what
19 they want to do is elevate the indenture agreement to a
20 statutory provision of the Bankruptcy Code.

21 So the balances of equities here and the Court's
22 equitable relief would allow, in fairness to Pan American,
23 which is a request that is of limited amount, of a limited
24 amount, and that will yield cash for PREPA to simply have the
25 Court allow Pan American to execute the set-off.

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

2 MS. FIGUEROA Y MORGADE: That's our position. Thank
3 you.

4 MR. FINGER: Good morning, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Good morning.

6 MR. FINGER: Kevin Finger of Greenberg Traurig on
7 behalf of PREPA.

8 As counsel noted, PREPA did file an objection, as did
9 the PREPA Bond Trustee, U.S. Bank. Counsel for U.S. Bank and
10 I have agreed to divide our time so that I'll take nine
11 minutes and he'll have six minutes for his argument.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 MR. FINGER: And Your Honor, let me take what was
14 going to be the end of my argument and put it at the beginning
15 because of the way counsel has presented it. But essentially
16 in Pan American's reply, they ask the Court to adopt the
17 concept of equitable set-off.

18 There is no provision under the law for a concept of
19 equitable set-off. In fact, the First Circuit in the *Public*
20 *Service Company of New Hampshire* has explicitly rejected the
21 concept of equitable setoff. So there is no basis here for
22 the Court to apply that principle and permit Pan American to
23 move forward with set-off.

24 This brings us back to the law. There is no right to
25 set-off. They have claimed a right to set-off, but it doesn't

1 actually exist under the law. And that's because of the
2 requirements of Section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, which
3 requires both a mutuality of debt, as well as a substantive
4 provision either under federal or applicable state law that
5 creates the right to set-off. A movant cannot satisfy either
6 requirement.

7 The First Circuit has defined mutuality as debts with
8 the same right, between the same parties, in the same
9 capacity. And the rights here are defined by the Trust
10 Agreement. It's not an elevation of the Trust Agreement to
11 that of a statute, but it's the document by which bondholders
12 agree to be bound in the provisions therein, and that's what
13 defines the rights of the parties.

14 So to be sure, Pan American owes a set debt to PREPA
15 in the form of two million dollars owed for electrical service
16 prepetition, but PREPA does not owe that obligation to Pan
17 American. PREPA owes the obligation to repay the bonds to the
18 PREPA Bond Trustee who acts on behalf of the bondholders who
19 share in any receipts to the Trustee in a prorata basis.

20 So they are not the same parties, and that is made
21 clear by, as counsel referenced, the no-action clause, which
22 is Section 808 of the Trust Agreement, which does not permit a
23 single bondholder or even a collection of bondholders to bring
24 an action unless they have at least ten percent of the
25 outstanding bonds.

1 That particular exception to the no-action clause
2 does not apply in this case. So in the words of movant's
3 counsel, that essentially ends the analysis. The no-action
4 clause does not permit the bondholder to bring this action
5 and, therefore, there is no mutuality of the parties or of the
6 debts.

7 The debtors are also not in the same capacity. For
8 many reasons, because they're not mutual parties, the
9 obligations don't run the same way, but also because the
10 obligation on the part of PREPA to repay the bonds is secured
11 and the debt that's owed by Pan American to PREPA is
12 unsecured, so there are different capacities for this.

13 Also, the request for set-off essentially amounts to
14 a recourse against PREPA for the payment of the bonds. Under
15 both the Bankruptcy Code, Section 927, and the Trust
16 Agreement, the PREPA bonds are nonrecoursed to PREPA. But to
17 allow setoff in this case essentially recategorizes that and
18 makes it a recourse to PREPA.

19 So Section 553 mutuality is not achieved. There's
20 also not a substantive permission of Puerto Rico law that
21 permits set-off in this case. The two statutes at issue -- 31
22 LPRA 3221 essentially imposes a mutuality requirement for
23 there to be set-off, and as discussed, that is not met.

24 The next section, 3222, has a five-part test for
25 which the movant fails to satisfy at least three of those

1 requirements. The first is essentially, again, a mutuality
2 requirement that because the no-action clause is not met here,
3 also again because of the no-action clause, the debt is not
4 demandable. In this case, Pan American is not permitted to
5 bring a claim to enforce the terms of the Trust Agreement.

6 And the fifth requirement under this statute is that
7 there not be a third party who has retention rights. And it's
8 explained in our papers. Section 905 of the Trust Agreement
9 does provide retention rights to the PREPA Bond Trustee.

10 And, Your Honor, even if the Court can find that
11 there's a setoff right here, that relief is still permissive,
12 not mandatory by the Court. And the harms here that would be
13 invoked on a variety of levels are significant. They're not
14 de minimis.

15 First of all, Pan American owes over two million
16 dollars to PREPA for prepetition electrical service. PREPA's
17 need for dollars has been well-documented in this case and it
18 continues to this day. That failure to provide two million
19 dollars affects not only PREPA, but other constituents who
20 rely on increased revenues to PREPA to continue to operate.

21 Mr. Whitmore can address this a little more
22 particularly, but it also would -- this recovery, a hundred
23 cents on the dollar for the bond holdings would also deprive
24 that amount to the other bondholders who, under the Trust
25 Agreement, share in any recovery on account of the bonds on a

1 prorata basis.

2 So there are significant harms here that would be
3 worked to permit a set-off, so the Court should, even if it
4 were to find a right to set-off, not permit it to move
5 forward.

6 Finally, with respect to the stay, Your Honor, there
7 is nothing on the factors that demonstrates cause to lift the
8 stay. There is no judicial economy or any other factor that
9 can be saved here.

10 As counsel has asked, the proposed way of handling
11 all the different types of claims here would be on a factual
12 review, which would require apparently an examination of the
13 facts underlying each request for set-off, and that simply
14 requires Court time, PREPA's time and other parties' time that
15 can better be spent to do the type of activities that
16 Mr. Bienenstock described already.

17 So there is no basis. There is no right to set-off
18 and there is no basis to lift the stay or permit the set-off,
19 even if the Court does find one.

20 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Finger.

21 MR. FINGER: You're welcome.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Whitmore.

23 MR. WHITMORE: Your Honor, Clark Whitmore from the
24 Maslon LLP law firm on behalf of U.S. Bank, National
25 Association, as the PREPA Bond Trustee.

1 I believe that movant's counsel conceded the fact
2 that the no-action clause was applicable to bar their ability
3 to bring this, and that also destroys mutuality for purposes
4 of set-off, so it's a fairly simple equation for the Court to
5 deny the motion.

6 I would simply like, Your Honor, in light of the
7 request, that the Court take into account equities, which
8 obviously exist. Every small business wants to get all the
9 money that they can, and I certainly appreciate the
10 perspective of equities from Pan American's perspective. I
11 would like to make sure that the Court appreciates the
12 equities from the perspective of the other bondholders who we
13 have duties to under the Trust Agreement.

14 There are really two fundamental principles under the
15 Trust Agreement that they're seeking to have the Court
16 disregard. The first is that of collective action. They want
17 to just take a shortcut and ignore the requirement under the
18 Trust Agreement that either the Trustee or groups of
19 bondholders who have various rights to direct the Trustee or
20 act independently proceed in that way.

21 These are -- this is an important principle. And
22 just allowing somebody to say, hey, I'm only owed a million
23 dollars, let me just violate the rules, really does put that
24 principle at risk in ways that could create unanticipated
25 problems later on.

1 And perhaps more fundamentally, the second major
2 fairness principle under the Trust Agreement is that pledged
3 revenues were pledged to all of the bondholders, and the
4 recoveries from those pledged revenues are supposed to go to
5 all the bondholders after they share the cost of expenses.

6 So what you have here under the rubric of equities is
7 you have somebody saying, I would like to take some of PREPA's
8 revenues that should have gone to PREPA and were pledged to
9 all the bondholders and just grab it for myself.

10 So obviously we think that's not an equity that cuts
11 in favor of the movant, but rather one that cuts in favor of
12 the other, albeit more diluted bondholders who we'd like to
13 give voice to here today.

14 So I can go on, but I don't think it's really
15 necessary in light of the concessions that have been made by
16 movant's counsel. I would just like to note that U.S. Bank's
17 position with respect to its claim and the nature of its claim
18 is we don't necessarily agree with all of the statements that
19 PREPA has made in its papers with respect to the nature of the
20 debt. It's not necessary for the resolution of this motion.
21 But our position with respect to the claim is set forth in our
22 Proof of Claim, and if need be, we'll address that at some
23 later time.

24 And then finally, I would like to point out that U.S.
25 Bank favors the active involvement of bondholders in the Title

1 III process and doesn't intend for the decision it made to
2 step up in this particular case and file an objection to
3 standing to discourage bondholders from participating in these
4 Title III cases, because this case really presented a very
5 unusual attack on the fundamental principles of the Trust
6 Agreement and rose to a level of contradiction to the rights
7 of other bondholders where we felt it was appropriate to lodge
8 an objection.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Whitmore.

10 Ms. Figueroa y Morgade, would you like to make reply
11 remarks?

12 MS. FIGUEROA Y MORGADE: No, Your Honor. The matter
13 is submitted for the Court's consideration.

14 THE COURT: Thank you. And so the motion and
15 arguments are fully submitted.

16 I did read very carefully all of the submissions
17 before coming to court today and have listened carefully to
18 what has been said here. I will now make my oral ruling on
19 the record.

20 Before the Court is Pan American Grain Company,
21 Inc.'s Motion for Relief from Stay to Execute Set-off, which
22 is docket entry number 4004 in case 17-3283, and I'll refer to
23 it as the motion.

24 The motion seeks relief from the automatic stay to
25 effectuate a set-off under Section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code,

1 which applies in these Title III proceedings pursuant to
2 Section 301 of PROMESA, to set off movant's PREPA bond
3 investments against its prepetition electricity bill owed to
4 PREPA.

5 The Court has considered carefully all of the
6 parties' submissions and arguments, and for the reasons that I
7 will now explain, the motion is denied.

8 I will first take up the question of standing or the
9 power or ability of the movant to make this application in the
10 first place. Due to the collective structure of bondholder
11 rights and remedies under the Operative Trust Agreement, and
12 specifically because of the no-action clause of that
13 agreement, which counsel have discussed, movant does not have
14 standing to unilaterally bring proceedings to enforce
15 collection upon its PREPA bonds.

16 Section 808 of the Trust Agreement, which we are
17 referring to as a no-action provision, prohibits individual
18 PREPA bondholders who hold less than ten percent of the
19 principal amount of outstanding PREPA bonds from pursuing
20 remedies in their own name without complying with certain
21 requirements.

22 Counsel, may I remind you that court is in session
23 and I would ask that if you need to have conversations, you do
24 that outside of the courtroom. Thank you.

25 Moreover, the no-action clause of the Trust Agreement

1 requires that all proceedings in law or equity brought by
2 individual PREPA bondholders be maintained for the benefit of
3 all PREPA bondholders. Nothing in the trust instrument or in
4 bankruptcy law changes the powers of an individual bondholder
5 under the Trust Agreement upon the issuer's entry into a
6 bankruptcy proceeding.

7 Movant's attempt to distinguish the case *In Re:*
8 *American Roads, LLC*, 496 B.R. 727, from the Bankruptcy Court
9 of the Southern District of New York in 2013, a case that
10 construed an intercreditor agreement that defined bondholders'
11 rights inter se and vis-a-vis the Trustee is similarly
12 unpersuasive.

13 Here, as in that case, the operative agreement
14 defines and limits bondholders' rights and centralizes powers
15 to take actions with respect to the bonds. The Court,
16 therefore, finds that notwithstanding the broad provisions of
17 Bankruptcy Code Section 1109, movant lacks standing to bring
18 the present motion in violation of the Trust Agreement's
19 no-action clause.

20 And turning specifically to the no-action clause and
21 whether an equitable exclusion from that clause would be
22 feasible or appropriate, movant offers no statutory or
23 precedential authority in support of its argument that the
24 Court should use its equitable powers to exclude the movant
25 from the limitations imposed by the Trust Agreement's

1 no-action clause in order to allow the movant to pursue the
2 effectuation of its sought after set-off.

3 In effect, movant is asking this Court to create an
4 additional noncontractual right to direct payment for certain
5 PREPA bondholders. There is no legal basis for such a
6 revision of a complex contractual arrangement to benefit a
7 single bondholder that has no right to individual relief as
8 against the issuer under the governing documents. Such relief
9 would also be inequitable.

10 Under movant's proposed construct, individual
11 bondholders would be enabled by happenstance of their
12 independent electrical service customer relationships with the
13 issuer, with PREPA, to obtain the practical equivalent of 100
14 percent payment on their bonds from an issuer that represents
15 that it is not in a financial condition to make such full
16 payment to all other holders of the same bonds.

17 Such relief would, therefore, effect an inequitable
18 distribution of the debtor's property and would deprive the
19 bondholders and other creditors of PREPA of assets that could
20 otherwise be distributed equitably.

21 The Court denies the motion to the extent that the
22 movant seeks exclusion from the controlling no-action clause
23 of the operative Trust Agreement.

24 And finally, I would address the issue of mutuality,
25 which is a requirement of Section 553 of the Code. The movant

1 argues that mutuality exists here, and that argument is
2 unfounded and again, fundamentally inequitable.

3 The existence of a mutuality requirement necessarily
4 means that there must be something more than mere identity of
5 obligor and obligee between the two debts to be set off.
6 Furthermore, in light of the no-action provision of the Trust
7 Agreement and bond terms providing that PREPA must pay
8 principal and interest due on the bonds to the Trustee, rather
9 than directly to individual bondholders, even the identity of
10 obligor and obligee is lacking under the circumstances
11 presented here.

12 Thus, because the movant lacks standing to seek the
13 individual remedy of a set-off, because it is not demonstrated
14 that it has any legal right to set-off, and because there is
15 no proper basis for its request for recognition of an
16 equitable entitlement to such a remedy, the Motion for Relief
17 from Stay is denied and the Court need not go on to address
18 the *Sonnax* factors.

19 So the Court will enter an Order indicating that the
20 motion is denied for the reasons stated on the record. This
21 oral decision resolves docket entry 4004 in case number
22 17-3283.

23 Counsel, I thank you for your arguments and
24 presentations today.

25 The final contested matter on the agenda is the

1 Motion for Relief from Stay of Cooperativa de Ahorro y Credito
2 Vegabajeña, I'm sorry if I butchered that, and that is ECF
3 number 4011 in case 17-3283.

4 And so Mr. Quilichini Paz.

5 MR. QUILICHINI PAZ: Yes, Your Honor. Good
6 morning.

7 THE COURT: Good morning.

8 MR. QUILICHINI PAZ: Carlos Quilichini for the
9 Cooperativa de Ahorro y Credito Vegabajeña.

10 Your Honor, basically, in this case, the claim to the
11 funds arises from a statutory basis, basically Law Number 196
12 of the year 2011, which was drawn basically to entice further
13 credit unions and other financial institutions to grant
14 credit, extend credit facilities to the participants in the
15 ESR, the Employees' Retirement System participants.

16 And due to that specific law, as a matter of fact,
17 the motives within the law specifically stating, among others,
18 that the purpose of the specific motive of the law was to
19 ensure the operational solvency of a credit union, it is
20 necessary to increase the contribution percentage that a
21 participant may voluntarily authorize to be encumbered to
22 secure the personal loans.

23 So what the law did was to increase the limits by
24 which a participant in the system could actually assign his
25 funds within the system, which are actually payroll deductions

1 or voluntary contributions to this system, and it allowed it
2 to be assigned as a collateral for the loan the credit union
3 would do.

4 THE COURT: Was it the bank's understanding that the
5 participant contributions went into a separate, separately
6 kept savings type of account for the participant or what --
7 what is the benefit that was being assigned?

8 MR. QUILICHINI PAZ: We believe it's not, because as
9 the contract documents show, and I specifically refer to
10 Exhibits A and B that were added, specifically the assignment
11 agreement for the pensioners or assignment agreement for
12 participants, the certificate of estoppel that was actually
13 executed by the ERS -- which the clear language on the
14 contract specifically states three things: One, on the
15 estoppel, on the estoppel issue, that the ERS guarantees,
16 certifies that the debtor, referring to the participant, is a
17 bona fide participant of a Puerto Rico retirement system.

18 Number two, that it has been notified that the
19 interests of the debtor to the participant in the amounts of
20 contributions made by way of deductions have been assigned,
21 including interest as a guaranty to the creditor, in this case
22 would be Cooperativa de Ahorro y Credito Vegabajeña, a
23 designee under the terms of the agreement.

24 And number three, most importantly, Your Honor, it
25 says specifically that as of the date of this notification,

1 the debtor has contributed the amount of X dollars.
2 Specifically, in our case, most amounts were 25,000 dollars.
3 As shown in Exhibit D, the cooperativa granted 37 loans
4 totaling, amounting to \$525,422.93.

5 So the contract agreement around the ERS specifically
6 stated that they had knowledge and that they guaranteed that a
7 specific amount had been put into assignment and as collateral
8 for the loans. That's on the contract issue.

9 But besides that, the specific terms of the law, and
10 again, that would be Act 196, specifically states, Section
11 2-119, as follows: Contributions that may be designated by
12 the participant as collateral for any loan originating or
13 required by these agencies, savings and credit unions and the
14 Cooperativa Bank of Puerto Rico, underlined, may only be used
15 as collateral for the principal and interest of such loan.

16 Then the same statute goes on to Section 4-110 and
17 specifically states that the statutory lien was created, and
18 specifically states the following: The statutory lien created
19 in this section, Section 4-110, shall remain in full force and
20 effect in the event that the mortgage or personal loans are
21 transferred by the administrator to third parties pursuant to
22 Section 4-116.

23 That is, we have a statutory lien. We have a
24 specific assignment of determined funds certified by the ERS
25 as collateral for Cooperativa's loans in each and every one of

1 the 37 Proof of Claims filed by Cooperativa in this case.

2 These were all -- these were all, as I said, direct
3 contributions from the participants' payrolls into these
4 accounts. So that's why we hardly find to believe -- or I
5 mean we express surprise that in the objection at paragraph
6 ten, the ERS states that there were no individual accounts.

7 That is contrary to the specific estoppel
8 certificate. It's contrary to specific statutory language,
9 which is comprised in Section 2-119 and in Section 4-110. So
10 basically we find it -- and of course it even says, Section
11 4-116, that the statutory lien created in this section shall
12 remain in full force and effect, even in the event of transfer
13 of the loan.

14 So the application of the other law cited in the
15 objections, specifically the Act 106 enacted in 2017, which
16 is, of course, after the filing of the petition in the Title
17 III cases, and besides, let me clear the point, all these
18 loans were prepetition. All these loans are prepetition.

19 THE COURT: And the 2017 law is the one that is being
20 referred to as the PAYGO Statute?

21 MR. QUILICHINI PAZ: Yes. Yes, Your Honor, that is
22 the PAYGO Statute, which specifically states in Article 7.6,
23 that it does away -- one of the things that Article 7.6 does
24 is it does away with all the loans and any -- all the
25 financing that the ERS was able to provide to their own

1 participants, but specifically states that all loans
2 previously granted or in place of course shall be -- shall be
3 carried out under the statute under which they were issued.
4 In this case, that would be Law 196 of the year 2011.

5 Additionally, contrary to what the ERS also says in
6 its objection at paragraph ten, the new law actually, in the
7 translation, says that participants of the new defined
8 contribution plan shall have, ergo, the property rights over
9 the balances in their defined contribution accounts.

10 So at any rate, if you want to see it, I actually
11 propose to the Court that under Law 196, this is a specific
12 amount of money that was actually certified by the ERS as
13 available for collateral. So it was earmarked and set aside.
14 And it -- under the same statute, 196, it could only be used
15 for payment of that loan. That's the specific statutory
16 language that we address.

17 So that basically is our contention. The rest we
18 have argued basically on the merits and in our writings.

19 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

20 MR. QUILICHINI PAZ: Thank you.

21 MR. MARINI: Good morning, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Good morning.

23 MR. MARINI: Luis Marini of Marini, Pietrantoni &
24 Muniz for AAFAF. I'm here with my colleague, Suzzanne Uhland,
25 as well for AAFAF.

1 Your Honor, AAFAF filed an objection to Cooperativa's
2 motion, and in our objection, we essentially assert two bases
3 for the denial of the motion. One is that we submitted the
4 motion should be denied as the movant has failed to establish
5 cause.

6 In our motion, we go through the various *Sonnax*
7 factors and why we understand that the *Sonnax* factors weigh in
8 favor of denial of the motion. And we also assert that the
9 motion is not the correct procedural vehicle to assert
10 remedies that Cooperativa is presenting in the motion.

11 THE COURT: That's the declaratory judgment aspect of
12 the motion.

13 MR. MARINI: Correct. Correct.

14 THE COURT: I would like to -- I need to understand
15 what the plan design here is and what the nature of these
16 accounts or interests was prior to PAYGO, in which Law 196
17 authorized the granting of the security interest and pursuant
18 to which the security interest was granted. So if you could
19 help me with that, that will help me understand in context
20 better your *Sonnax* argument.

21 MR. MARINI: Sure. Well, Your Honor, let me first
22 describe what we understand is the type of interest that
23 Cooperativa has. They're trying to enforce reported claims
24 against consumer borrowers that are apparently secured by
25 individual retirement and pension benefits.

1 We understand what they have is at most an assignment
2 of the rights of the consumer borrowers to ERS. And as part
3 of such assignment, they are stepping into the shoes of the
4 consumer borrowers to enforce their pension and retirement
5 claim benefits against ERS.

6 We understand that as an assignee, they have no
7 superior rights to claim pension and retirement benefits as a
8 consumer borrower would have against ERS.

9 THE COURT: So I want to know what the consumer
10 borrower has.

11 MR. MARINI: Sure.

12 THE COURT: Were there individual accounts made for
13 the consumer borrowers to hold their employee contributions,
14 as the bank appears to have believed or is arguing now, or is
15 it an annuity? What is the benefit plan design that the
16 consumer borrower would expect to get as a pensioner?

17 MR. MARINI: Right. Your Honor, as we detailed in
18 our papers, no individual retirement accounts were established
19 at ERS.

20 THE COURT: Is that because the plan didn't call for
21 it or is that because the plan called for it but nobody did
22 it?

23 MR. MARINI: Your Honor, if I may check with my
24 co-counsel for a second?

25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. MARINI: Your Honor, we need to confirm. It's
2 possible that the statute required it, but it certainly --
3 there were no retirement accounts set up.

4 As we also assert in our papers, at this stage and
5 once borrowers -- they make no ongoing contributions to ERS,
6 and ERS assets are to be transferred to the Commonwealth as
7 part of the PAYGO legislation. And any remaining assets that
8 ERS has right now are in its general fund.

9 So, Your Honor, the rights that --

10 THE COURT: So what's being -- what's the difference
11 between what is being or has been transferred to the
12 Commonwealth and ERS' general funds? Were there some
13 segregated funds that have been transferred to the
14 Commonwealth or what?

15 MR. MARINI: No, Your Honor. I believe that the
16 intent of the PAYGO legislation was that the assets are
17 transferred to the Commonwealth, which is ongoing. They are
18 not segregated into individual accounts. The assets that ERS
19 has are held in its general fund and they are not
20 segregated.

21 THE COURT: And so was it part of whatever ERS had as
22 its general fund that went to the Commonwealth but ERS has
23 kept some back, or were there separate bodies of assets that
24 were transferred to the Commonwealth?

25 MR. MARINI: I understand that part of ERS assets

1 | were transferred without segregation and that the assets that
2 | ERS still has are just held in the general fund without
3 | segregation into individual borrower accounts.

4 | So, Your Honor, our main contention here is that the
5 | rights or claims that pension claimants would have, they are
6 | not limited to Cooperativa's rights as an assignee. It is a
7 | legal issue that should be decided as part of the Title III
8 | proceedings, as part of a Plan of Adjustment or the claims
9 | resolution proceedings. Lifting the stay would shift that
10 | decision to a state court.

11 | We understand that the Cooperativa is not the only
12 | potential claimant asserting a right or claim against pension
13 | benefits, and that that decision and that the claims that may
14 | be asserted or the rights that may be asserted by pension
15 | claimants should be made as part of the Plan of Adjustment or
16 | claim resolution process in this Court. And that the stay
17 | should not be lifted for those reasons and those that we put
18 | in our objection.

19 | THE COURT: Is there anything of an evidentiary
20 | nature in the record on this motion to establish as the basis
21 | of a Court finding that there's only an unsecured claim here,
22 | that there are no individual accounts, that there are no
23 | segregated assigned funds?

24 | There is a footnote in the brief that says, by the
25 | way, there are no individual accounts, but there's no

1 affidavit. There's no information about that. Are you
2 prepared to proffer something?

3 MR. MARINI: May I have a second, Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. MARINI: Your Honor, we made the allegation in
6 our objection. What we submit is that the Court -- we would
7 ask the Court allows us to supplement the record with an
8 affidavit.

9 THE COURT: Yes, I will require a supplemental
10 affidavit, because arguments of counsel are not evidence. And
11 you are asking me to make a factual finding that because of
12 this factual situation, there is only an unsecured claim to be
13 pursued, and on that basis, to apply the *Sonnax* factors.

14 And so that supplemental affidavit must be filed by a
15 week from tomorrow. Sorry. Today is Wednesday. I lose track
16 of the days, so --

17 COURTROOM DEPUTY: The 15th.

18 THE COURT: The 15th.

19 MR. MARINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: And let me ask you, is there -- to the
21 extent that the plan required segregation and it didn't
22 happen, is there an investigation ongoing? Will there be
23 identification of how this happened and who was responsible
24 and steps taken to pursue that?

25 I don't think that was something covered by the Kobre

1 & Kim matter, which focused on bonds.

2 MR. MARINI: Your Honor, I don't have the answer to
3 that. I'll certainly check with my client and inform the
4 Court.

5 THE COURT: Yes, please. File an informative motion.

6 MR. MARINI: (Nodding head up and down.)

7 THE COURT: Thank you.

8 MR. MARINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Quilichini, any reply remarks?

10 MR. QUILICHINI: Just, Your Honor, that again,
11 restating, the law is clear. The documents are clear. And we
12 have to overstate the importance of the Exhibits B and C
13 attached to our motions. These were drafted by the ERS.
14 They're not of our doing. They were drafted pursuant to Law
15 196. So they cannot undo themselves of what they went into
16 and what they represented to these credit unions.

17 These were segregated funds. If they were
18 transferred illegally or unauthorizedly, that's another
19 matter.

20 So I would ask you if we can respond to the
21 informative motion that has been allowed to the -- to the
22 other party on due time.

23 THE COURT: Yes. So within a week after the filing
24 of the informative motion, you may make a further response.

25 MR. QUILICHINI: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: And I will hold this matter under
2 advisement and in abeyance until these submissions are
3 received, and then I will make a decision after all of the
4 supplemental submissions have been received.

5 MR. QUILICHINI: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 Mr. Despins.

8 MR. DESPINS: Luc Despins for the Committee.

9 As you know, the Committee has stayed out of all
10 these automatic stay motions for cost reasons. The only thing
11 that concerns me is that I want to make sure Your Honor knows,
12 and we're not taking a position on the merits of this, that
13 this issue of a law saying that certain funds shall be
14 deposited in a certain way or kept in a certain way, that's an
15 issue that permeates the entire case.

16 So when I hear this argument over a relatively small
17 matter, it gives me a lot of trepidation because I want to
18 make sure Your Honor knows that that issue is in the case
19 generally. So I -- that's all I wanted to make sure Your
20 Honor was aware of.

21 THE COURT: It doesn't surprise me that it is a
22 pervasive issue, but when I am asked to make a determination
23 based on what is, in effect, an offhand remark in a brief that
24 what was called for doesn't exist so we should just move on
25 from there, that's a problem. I can't condone it and I can't

1 make a decision on that basis.

2 MR. DESPINS: Understood. I was not arguing that you
3 should. I just wanted to make sure that you knew that various
4 other statutes --

5 THE COURT: Yes.

6 MR. DESPINS: -- speak in those terms.

7 THE COURT: And I will expect that the parties, and
8 in particular the governmental side parties will address
9 appropriately and with an appropriate level of insight and
10 detail the facts that relate to those sorts of issues as and
11 when they come up in litigation.

12 Now, I didn't give a deadline for the informative
13 motion. I only gave a specific deadline for the supplemental
14 affidavit. So both the supplemental affidavit and the
15 informative motion are due on the 15th of November.

16 I think we have concluded the scheduled agenda. We
17 have adjourned matters as listed in document 4180 that are
18 adjourned to the December Omni.

19 Are there any further remarks that anyone needs or
20 wishes to make before I conclude the proceedings?

21 Seeing none, I will say today's agenda is concluded.
22 The next scheduled hearing date is the November 20th, 2018,
23 hearing on the proposed COFINA disclosure statement. That
24 will take place in New York with a video connection to San
25 Juan.

1 The next Omnibus hearing date is on December 19,
2 2018, here in Puerto Rico, with the usual video connection to
3 New York.

4 I would like to thank all counsel for their work here
5 today, and in particular to thank the staff of the courts in
6 Puerto Rico and New York, and the ongoing work of the staff in
7 Boston in preparing for and conducting these hearings.

8 I wish safe travels to all who are departing. And I
9 commend again the administration of these cases on the court
10 side and the way in which everyone is working together to move
11 forward for the benefit of Puerto Rico. Keep well. We are
12 adjourned.

13 (At 11:24 AM, proceedings concluded.)

14 * * *

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 U.S. DISTRICT COURT)
2 DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO)

3

4 I certify that this transcript consisting of 66 pages is
5 a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability of
6 the proceedings in this case before the Honorable United
7 States District Court Judge Laura Taylor Swain on November 7,
8 2018.

9

10

11 S/ Amy Walker

12 Amy Walker, CSR 3799

13 Official Court Reporter

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

< Dates >

December 19, 2018
65:1

December 5, 2018
13:10

January 16 28:2

July 2018 11:19

November 16 28:23

November 2 9:8

November 2, 2018
9:12

November 20 8:19

November 7, 2018
1:16, 5:2, 66:7

October 18 27:12

October 23 30:11

October 31 13:7

October 31st 32:2

\$525,422.93. 54:4

< 0 >

000 54:2

< 1 >

1.5 25:5

100 31:10, 50:13

100. 30:17

103 11:14

106 55:15

11 16:7, 29:25

1109 49:17

11:24 65:13

147 9:14

15th 61:17, 61:18,
64:15

165,000 30:19

17-3283 47:22

17-3283. 51:22, 52:3

17-BK-3283(LTS 1:6

17-BK-3567(LTS 2:4

17-BK-4780(LTS 1:22

170,000 30:19

196 52:11, 54:10,

56:4, 56:11,

56:14, 57:16

196. 62:15

< 2 >

2-119 54:11, 55:9

2011 52:12

2011. 56:4

2013 49:9

2016 11:12

2017 55:15, 55:19

2018 19:24, 64:22

2019. 8:24

20th 8:18, 64:22

21: 2:35

25 54:2

27 9:12

27-page 28:17

28 16:4

29 24:10, 24:14,
25:7

< 3 >

3,500 30:21

300 9:10

3007 30:15

301 48:2

31 42:21

3221 42:22

3222 42:24

327 9:8

3283. 30:6, 36:4

342 11:12

37 54:3, 55:1

3799 66:12

3: 1:6, 1:22, 2:4

< 4 >

4,000 30:21

4-110 54:16, 54:19

4-110. 55:9

4-116 55:11

4-116. 54:22

4004 36:3, 47:22,
51:21

4004. 36:11

4011 52:3

4052 30:6

4180 64:17

496 49:8

< 5 >

50 31:21

500. 31:10

553 37:21, 41:2,

42:19, 47:25,

50:25

56 11:13

< 6 >

65 9:18

66 66:4

< 7 >

7.6 55:22, 55:23

727 49:8

74 8:9

< 8 >

8.8 11:24

808 41:22, 48:16

88 11:14

< 9 >

9019 28:3, 28:11

905 43:8

927 42:15

93 11:11

96 11:12, 11:13

99 11:9

9:45 5:3

< A >

a. 52:9

AAFAF 11:17, 26:3,

26:10, 35:1,

56:24, 56:25, 57:1

abeyance 28:1, 63:2

ability 45:2, 48:9,
66:5

able 6:6, 24:22,

26:2, 26:3, 32:14,

33:19, 55:25

above 9:10

Absolutely 33:9

accordance 12:24

account 9:11, 43:25,
45:7, 53:6
accounts 55:4, 55:6,
56:9, 57:16,
58:12, 58:18,
59:3, 59:18, 60:3,
60:22, 60:25
accurate 66:5
achieved 42:19
across 18:24
Act 45:20, 54:10,
55:15
action 41:24, 42:4,
45:16
actions 29:21, 49:15
active 46:25
actively 11:6, 35:8
activities 44:15
acts 41:18
actual 25:14
actually 10:2, 10:4,
27:23, 30:25,
34:4, 41:1, 52:24,
52:25, 53:12,
56:6, 56:10, 56:12
Ad 11:18, 12:1
add 24:1, 38:18
added 53:10
addition 29:23
additional 9:19,
16:6, 16:13, 50:4
Additionally 56:5
address 18:12, 19:5,
19:9, 20:11,
29:17, 34:20,
35:25, 43:21,
46:22, 50:24,
51:17, 56:16, 64:8
addressed 16:16,
19:12, 20:10
adjourned 64:17,
64:18, 65:12
Adjustment 8:4,
8:15, 12:19, 27:8,
28:4, 31:5, 34:2,
35:4, 60:8, 60:15
adjustments 19:12,
19:21, 21:12,
24:16
Administered 1:11,

1:24, 2:6
administration 65:9
administrator 54:21
adopt 40:16
adopted 34:2
ADR 33:25
advice 21:18
advise 14:16
advisement 63:2
advisors 5:25, 24:9,
25:18
Advisory 3:3, 21:8
affected 24:21
affects 43:19
affidavit 61:1,
61:8, 61:10,
61:14, 64:14
afford 24:14
agencies 54:13
Agency 3:2
Agenda 15:18, 16:7,
30:4, 36:2, 51:25,
64:16, 64:21
agent 27:13, 27:18,
27:19, 28:9, 29:3
agree 39:9, 41:12,
46:18
agreed 40:10
agreements 19:4,
19:13
Ahorro 3:16, 52:1,
52:9, 53:22
al 1:16, 2:29
albeit 46:12
allay 32:17
allegation 61:5
allow 11:20, 19:8,
30:16, 31:13,
37:16, 39:22,
39:25, 42:17, 50:1
allowance 35:13
allowed 31:12,
37:14, 37:15,
53:1, 62:21
allowing 6:13, 45:22
allows 61:7
almost 17:12, 19:17
already 8:15, 20:23,
31:12, 44:16
alternatives 11:6

although 19:18,
20:4, 25:11, 28:19
Amended 32:1, 32:15,
32:20
amendments 25:19,
26:1
American 3:12, 36:2,
36:8, 36:9, 36:12,
36:14, 36:17,
36:24, 36:25,
37:9, 37:11,
37:17, 37:21,
39:7, 39:8, 39:12,
39:22, 39:25,
40:16, 40:22,
41:14, 41:17,
42:11, 43:4,
43:15, 45:10,
47:20, 49:8
among 35:11, 52:17
amount 8:10, 10:24,
11:23, 17:14,
35:12, 39:23,
39:24, 43:24,
48:19, 54:1, 54:7,
56:12
amounting 54:4
amounts 9:24, 30:16,
37:24, 42:13,
53:19, 54:2
Amy 66:11, 66:12
analysis 38:6, 42:3
anniversaries 19:20
annual 21:12
annuity 58:15
answer 17:7, 17:24,
26:9, 62:2
anticipate 32:25
anticipated 8:3
anticipating 7:22
anxious 11:1
anybody 29:6
apologize 17:13,
26:25
apparently 44:12,
57:24
appear 6:13
appearance 6:14
APPEARANCES 2:26,
2:41

Appearing 2:31	48:6, 51:23, 61:10	17:18
appears 39:17, 58:14	arises 52:11	avoid 23:3
applicable 39:15,	around 54:5	aware 10:5, 25:4,
41:4, 45:2	arrangement 50:6	63:20
application 16:6,	arrangements 24:17,	away 55:23, 55:24
16:7, 16:9, 37:20,	26:1	Ayala 2:39
39:10, 48:9, 55:14	Arribas 2:35	
applications 15:19,	Article 55:22, 55:23	
16:4, 16:10,	articulate 21:7	< B >
16:13, 16:17,	ascertain 35:16	back 7:17, 8:13,
16:18, 17:23,	aside 56:13	14:24, 21:9,
20:18, 23:5, 23:8,	aspect 11:16, 57:11	28:24, 34:10,
24:18, 29:22	assert 57:2, 57:8,	40:24, 59:23
applied 23:22, 37:20	57:9, 59:4	backlog 16:19
applies 48:1	asserted 60:14	balance 9:13
apply 5:12, 19:17,	asserting 60:12	balances 9:8, 39:21,
40:22, 42:2, 61:13	assets 50:19, 59:6,	56:9
appreciate 18:2,	59:7, 59:16,	Bank 2:43, 31:13,
33:14, 45:9	59:18, 59:23,	36:19, 40:9,
appreciated 6:4	59:25, 60:1	44:24, 46:16,
appreciates 45:11	assign 52:24	46:25, 53:4,
approach 22:16,	assigned 53:2, 53:7,	54:14, 58:14
38:10	53:20, 60:23	Bankruptcy 1:1,
appropriate 19:9,	assignee 58:6, 60:6	30:15, 37:14,
21:18, 47:7,	assignment 53:10,	37:22, 39:15,
49:22, 64:9	53:11, 54:7,	39:20, 41:2,
appropriately 64:9	54:24, 58:1, 58:3	42:15, 47:25,
approval 8:13,	associates 19:17,	49:4, 49:6, 49:8,
11:22, 12:18,	19:20	49:17
16:5, 17:22, 18:6,	Association 2:44,	bar 45:2
28:3, 28:11	36:19, 44:25	based 9:6, 17:2,
approved 8:21, 10:3	assuming 38:5	17:23, 19:11,
approves 29:21	assure 7:11	63:23
approving 8:7	attached 16:10,	bases 57:2
approximate 8:10,	27:22, 62:13	basically 14:11,
11:24	attack 47:5	25:22, 38:6,
Approximately 9:2,	attempt 49:7	52:10, 52:11,
9:8, 9:14, 9:18,	attention 27:12,	52:12, 55:10,
11:11, 11:12,	28:15	56:17, 56:18
11:14, 30:19,	AUST 2:35	basis 17:15, 32:8,
31:1, 31:10, 31:20	Authority 1:32,	40:21, 41:19,
areas 21:6	2:15, 3:3, 3:8,	44:1, 44:17,
argued 56:18	13:2, 13:6, 13:12,	44:18, 50:5,
argues 51:1	49:23	51:15, 52:11,
arguing 58:14, 64:2	authorize 52:21	60:20, 61:13, 64:1
argument 40:11,	authorized 57:17	Bauer 2:32
40:14, 49:23,	automatic 47:24,	begin 5:16, 31:5
51:1, 57:20, 63:16	63:10	beginning 6:22,
arguments 36:21,	available 56:13	8:14, 40:14
39:18, 47:15,	average 9:17, 11:10,	behalf 16:1, 33:18,

35:1, 36:8, 40:7,
41:18, 44:24
behind 23:9
believe 8:16, 10:23,
11:25, 45:1, 53:8,
55:4, 59:15
believed 58:14
benchmarks 18:4
beneficiary 10:9
benefit 24:25,
26:20, 49:2, 50:6,
53:7, 58:15, 65:11
benefits 57:25,
58:5, 58:7, 60:13
Bennazar 16:6, 16:9
besides 54:9, 55:17
best 66:5
Better 8:6, 26:7,
29:7, 44:15, 57:20
Biaggi 3:4
bids 13:13
Bienenstock 2:29,
5:16, 5:17, 5:18,
6:16, 7:17, 8:2,
8:19, 8:21, 10:5,
14:3, 14:9, 15:16,
15:17, 15:18,
15:21, 15:22,
20:10, 23:24,
23:25, 24:23,
25:17, 26:5, 26:8,
26:12, 27:6, 35:4,
44:16
big 21:20
bill 48:3
billion 8:9, 9:3,
11:24, 37:12
binds 37:5, 37:6
bit 19:1
blackline 32:3
blended 17:18
Board 1:10, 1:26,
2:8, 5:15, 5:19,
5:24, 6:17, 6:20,
6:24, 7:6, 7:13,
11:17, 17:3,
18:24, 19:6,
27:18, 33:24, 34:9
bodies 59:23
boil 38:6

Bola 3:10
bona 53:17
Bond 8:10, 9:3,
11:24, 30:24,
31:11, 37:7, 40:9,
41:18, 43:9,
43:23, 44:25,
48:2, 51:7
bondholder 39:8,
41:23, 42:4,
48:10, 49:4, 50:7
bonds 12:8, 12:14,
36:15, 41:17,
41:25, 42:10,
42:14, 42:16,
43:25, 48:15,
48:19, 49:15,
50:14, 50:16,
51:8, 62:1
borrower 58:8,
58:10, 58:16, 60:3
borrowers 57:24,
58:2, 58:4, 58:13,
59:5
Boston 65:7
bound 41:12
Brady 3:19, 16:1,
22:12
Brian 2:30, 27:4,
29:15, 30:7
brief 5:10, 60:24,
63:23
bright 38:25
bring 20:22, 21:9,
23:17, 27:11,
28:15, 41:23,
42:4, 43:5, 45:3,
48:14, 49:17
bringing 35:11
brings 40:24
broad 37:20, 38:8,
49:16
brought 49:1
bulk 25:8
burning 11:7
business 33:5, 45:8
butchered 52:2

< C >

calculated 17:17,
20:4
calendar 19:23, 33:7
call 5:15, 26:24,
32:23, 58:20
called 58:21, 63:24
candidates 13:13
capacities 42:12
capacity 41:9, 42:7
caps 20:15
carefully 47:16,
47:17, 48:5
Carlos 3:17, 52:8
Carolina 3:5
carried 10:25, 56:3
carry 10:24, 16:21
cases 15:5, 17:19,
24:9, 47:4, 55:17,
65:9
cash 9:7, 9:8, 9:10,
9:15, 9:17, 38:1,
39:24
Casillas 2:39
CAT 3:43
categories 19:16,
21:11
cause 44:7, 57:5
centers 11:13
centralizes 49:14
cents 34:3, 34:4,
43:23
certain 12:3, 27:16,
48:20, 50:4,
63:13, 63:14
certainly 12:15,
15:14, 45:9, 59:2,
62:3
certificate 53:12,
55:8
certified 28:6,
54:24, 56:12
certifies 53:16
certify 66:4
cetera 8:23
challenges 23:7
changes 24:5, 49:4
charge 19:10
charged 20:24
check 58:23, 62:3
checked 6:7

Circuit 40:19, 41:7	COFINA 8:12, 26:23,	12:13, 22:25
circumstances 38:23,	27:8, 27:19, 28:3,	Company 3:13, 36:2,
51:10	30:21, 31:1,	36:8, 40:20, 47:20
cited 55:14	31:17, 64:23	compelling 38:13
Claim 31:12, 43:5,	collateral 53:2,	complete 11:21
46:17, 46:21,	54:7, 54:12,	completed 13:2,
46:22, 52:10,	54:15, 54:25,	13:15
58:5, 58:7, 60:12,	56:13	complex 34:8, 50:6
60:16, 60:21,	colleague 15:22,	complexities 26:4
61:12	56:24	compliance 5:13
claimant 60:12	collection 25:14,	complicated 34:18
claimants 60:5,	41:23, 48:15	complications 38:17
60:15	collections 9:17	comply 30:15
claimed 40:25	collective 45:16,	complying 48:20
Claims 30:5, 30:19,	48:10	comprehensive 11:21
30:22, 30:24,	collegial 22:16,	comprised 55:9
30:25, 31:3, 31:9,	22:25	compromised 27:23
31:12, 31:14,	color 19:1	conceded 45:1
31:16, 31:18,	comes 28:24, 38:23	concept 35:1, 40:17,
31:20, 32:7,	comfort 38:20	40:18, 40:21
32:12, 33:21,	comfortable 17:22	concern 26:13
33:23, 34:5,	coming 10:2, 10:5,	concerned 20:3, 39:3
34:15, 35:14,	27:3, 33:16,	concerning 6:20,
44:11, 55:1,	33:24, 34:10,	6:25
57:23, 60:5, 60:8,	39:4, 47:17	concerns 26:4,
60:13	commend 18:1, 65:9	32:17, 63:11
clarifications 32:21	commensurate 25:13	concession 13:4
Clark 2:44, 44:23	comment 18:1	concessions 46:15
clause 36:21, 37:1,	commented 22:15	conclude 29:20,
37:11, 37:19,	comments 18:14	64:20
37:20, 39:10,	commercial 38:13	concluded 64:16,
41:21, 42:1, 42:4,	Committee 2:37,	64:21
43:2, 43:3, 45:2,	27:13, 27:18,	concluded. 65:13
48:12, 48:25,	27:22, 28:9, 29:2,	concrete 14:1
49:19, 49:20,	32:1, 32:15,	condition 50:15
49:21, 50:1, 50:22	33:18, 34:1,	conditions 14:25
clawback 14:23	34:24, 63:8, 63:9	condone 63:25
clawed 14:24	common 31:18	conducted 24:20
cleaner 10:21, 11:7	Commonwealth 1:15,	conducting 65:7
clear 7:6, 20:16,	2:28, 9:9, 9:11,	confirm 6:16, 15:1,
41:21, 53:13,	14:12, 14:22,	32:24, 59:1
55:17, 62:11	14:24, 15:2,	confirmation 28:3,
client 62:3	23:21, 26:20,	28:10
clients 19:4	27:13, 28:7, 28:9,	confirmed 8:24,
co-counsel 58:24	28:11, 59:6,	13:3, 34:3
Code 37:14, 37:22,	59:12, 59:14,	connection 19:22,
39:15, 39:20,	59:17, 59:22,	26:24, 27:14,
41:2, 42:15,	59:24	28:2, 30:10,
47:25, 49:17,	communicate 26:3	30:21, 31:4,
50:25	communication 5:11,	31:24, 33:1,

35:10, 35:18,
35:21, 64:24, 65:2
consensual 20:23
consent 39:10
consenting 11:23
consequences 6:19
conservative 17:17
consider 38:10
consideration 47:13
considered 35:20,
48:5
consistent 17:18
consisting 66:4
constantly 23:13
constituents 43:19
construct 50:10
construed 49:10
consultants 17:19
consultation 31:25
Consulting 16:17
consumer 57:24,
58:2, 58:4, 58:8,
58:9, 58:13, 58:16
contention 56:17,
60:4
contested 20:16,
35:25, 51:25
context 35:3, 57:19
continue 7:18, 12:3,
43:20
Continued 2:41, 5:13
continues 11:3,
43:18
contract 17:2, 37:3,
37:4, 37:10,
39:11, 39:12,
53:9, 53:14, 54:5,
54:8
contracts 19:8, 19:9
contractual 50:6
contradict 24:3
contradiction 47:6
contrary 55:7, 55:8,
56:5
contributed 54:1
contribution 52:20,
56:8, 56:9
Contributions 53:1,
53:5, 53:20,
54:11, 55:3,

58:13, 59:5
controlling 50:22
conversations 48:23
conversion 11:7
convince 39:6
cooperating 22:1
cooperation 23:12
Cooperativa 52:1,
52:9, 53:22, 54:3,
54:14, 54:25,
55:1, 57:1, 57:10,
57:23, 60:6, 60:11
Cooperative 3:15,
22:16
coordinate 10:21
copy 32:3
Correct 27:8, 27:9,
28:25, 33:2, 57:9,
57:13
cost 13:19, 46:5,
63:10
costs 26:14, 26:15,
38:17
Counsel 5:5, 5:15,
19:6, 26:3, 40:8,
40:9, 40:15,
41:21, 42:3,
44:10, 45:1,
46:16, 48:13,
48:22, 51:23,
61:10, 65:4
couple 7:8, 7:23,
18:11, 18:15,
22:5, 26:22
course 7:12, 9:24,
55:10, 55:16, 56:2
COURTROOM 5:11,
22:6, 48:24, 61:17
courts 65:5
cover 9:25, 21:11,
25:2, 27:21
covered 61:25
create 15:1, 45:24,
50:3
created 54:17,
54:18, 55:11
creates 21:3, 41:5
creating 21:22,
24:10
credit 22:16, 25:20,

25:22, 52:13,
52:14, 52:19,
53:2, 54:13, 62:16
Credito 3:16, 52:1,
52:9, 53:22
creditor 53:21
Creditors 2:38,
13:22, 14:19,
14:21, 14:22,
27:13, 27:22,
29:2, 32:1, 32:14,
34:1, 50:19
critical 13:19, 15:3
crude 17:12
CSR 66:12
culminate 6:23, 7:3,
7:9, 12:17
Current 11:10,
12:11, 13:25,
21:17, 25:14
currently 7:22,
9:15, 24:15
customer 50:12
customers 9:18,
11:10, 38:12
cuts 46:10, 46:11

< D >

date 9:14, 27:16,
33:6, 33:7, 53:25,
64:22, 65:1
dates 27:9, 33:1
day 15:8, 26:14,
26:19, 43:18
days 33:5, 33:7,
61:16
de 3:15, 38:8,
38:18, 43:14,
52:1, 52:9, 53:22
deadline 28:24,
33:5, 64:12, 64:13
deal 8:12, 8:22,
11:1, 33:21
dealing 33:22
debt 6:21, 8:10,
9:1, 9:3, 9:4,
10:19, 10:24,
11:1, 11:16,
11:24, 12:9,

13:18, 14:9, 20:9, 37:12, 39:14, 41:3, 41:14, 42:11, 43:3, 46:20 Debtor 1:34, 2:17, 9:9, 9:13, 50:18, 53:16, 53:19, 54:1 Debtors 1:18, 30:4, 31:23, 42:7 debts 41:7, 42:6, 51:5 December 21:10, 23:15, 64:18 decided 60:7 decision 47:1, 51:21, 60:10, 60:13, 63:3, 64:1 declaratory 57:11 decrease 25:16 deductions 52:25, 53:20 deep 26:17 deferred 16:8, 20:19, 29:24, 29:25 defined 41:7, 41:9, 49:10, 56:7, 56:9 defines 41:13, 49:14 definitely 14:13 definition 23:10 definitive 12:4 delivered 11:11 demandable 43:4 demonstrated 51:13 demonstrates 44:7 denial 57:3, 57:8 denied 48:7, 51:17, 51:20, 57:4 denies 50:21 deny 45:5 departing 65:8 deposited 63:14 deprive 43:23, 50:18 DEPUTY 61:17 describe 57:22 described 17:11, 19:16, 44:16 design 57:15, 58:15 designated 54:11 designee 53:23	DESPINS 2:38, 33:16, 33:17, 34:15, 34:19, 63:7, 63:8, 64:2, 64:6 destroys 45:3 detail 64:10 detailed 58:17 details 28:17 determination 63:22 determined 54:24 determining 35:13 devices 5:10 dialogue 35:8 difference 59:10 different 10:13, 11:6, 19:3, 21:13, 31:22, 42:12, 44:11 difficult 22:22, 22:24 difficulty 38:9 diluted 46:12 direct 45:19, 50:4, 55:2 directly 7:1, 20:12, 21:16, 51:9 disbursed 10:4 discipline 21:4 disclosure 8:16, 64:23 disclosures 5:22, 6:19 discomfort 18:19 discount 19:11 discourage 47:3 discussed 42:23, 48:13 discussing 26:10 discussion 15:6, 24:1, 26:19 discussions 16:22, 18:20, 23:1 disincentive 21:3 dispute 14:21 disregard 45:16 distilled 30:25 distinguish 49:7 distributed 50:20 distribution 13:5, 13:9, 50:18	District 1:3, 2:22, 2:23, 49:9, 66:1, 66:2, 66:7 divide 40:10 Docket 1:6, 1:22, 2:4, 47:22, 51:21 document 41:11, 64:17 documents 50:8, 53:9, 62:11 doing 7:13, 7:14, 62:14 dollar 34:4, 37:13, 43:23 dollar-for-dollar 25:20 dollars 8:9, 9:3, 9:9, 9:11, 9:12, 9:14, 9:18, 10:1, 34:7, 37:12, 41:15, 43:16, 43:17, 43:19, 45:23, 54:2 dollars. 34:8, 54:1 done 7:24, 11:22, 13:22, 23:13, 23:14, 35:6 door 39:4 down 30:25, 38:5, 38:6 down. 62:6 drafted 62:13, 62:14 drawn 52:12 Due 13:9, 36:15, 48:10, 51:8, 52:16, 62:22, 64:15 Duffey 2:45 During 9:16 duties 45:13 < E > earmarked 56:13 Easy 33:21, 34:15, 34:16, 35:15 ECF 30:6, 36:3, 36:11, 52:2 economic 14:5 economical 21:21
---	--	---

economically 11:4	32:19, 51:19	estimation 17:10
economy 34:6, 44:8	entered 8:7, 11:17,	estoppel 53:12,
effect 26:16, 37:25,	28:23	53:15, 55:7
38:1, 38:2, 50:3,	entice 52:12	et 1:16, 2:29, 8:23
50:17, 54:20,	entire 63:15	evaluating 16:23
55:12, 63:23	entities 10:17	evaluation 5:22,
effective 26:18	entitled 17:4	18:5
effectuate 47:25	entitlement 51:16	event 11:1, 33:22,
effectuation 50:2	entity 14:1, 14:19,	54:20, 55:12
efficient 21:21	31:22, 39:13	events 28:1, 39:3
efficiently 23:22,	entry 30:6, 33:13,	everyone 13:21,
36:11	47:22, 49:5, 51:21	20:15, 25:23,
efforts 17:11	envision 31:20	25:25, 35:2, 65:10
eight 9:2, 37:12	envisioning 31:7	everything 9:25
either 14:18, 21:7,	equally 38:13	evidence 61:10
23:17, 41:4, 41:5,	equation 45:4	evidentiary 60:19
45:18	equitable 36:25,	exactly 14:3, 25:17,
Electric 1:31, 3:7,	37:3, 37:9, 39:22,	25:24
12:23	40:17, 40:19,	examination 44:12
electrical 41:15,	40:21, 49:21,	Examiner 3:19,
43:16, 50:12	49:24, 51:16	15:19, 16:2,
electricity 48:3	equitably 50:20	17:21, 18:12,
elevate 39:19	equities 39:21,	20:3, 24:18,
elevation 41:10	45:7, 45:10,	29:20, 29:21,
eliminate 36:25	45:12, 46:6	29:23, 30:1
emphasize 9:20	equity 46:10, 49:1	example 14:20, 19:6,
employee 58:13	equivalent 50:13	30:20
Employees 52:15	ergo 56:8	exception 42:1
employment 19:19	ERS 53:13, 53:15,	exclude 49:24
enabled 50:11	54:5, 54:24, 55:6,	exclusion 49:21,
enacted 24:8, 24:19,	55:25, 56:5,	50:22
55:15	56:12, 58:2, 58:5,	exclusively 19:17
encompasses 19:15	58:8, 58:19, 59:5,	excuse 30:9
encumbered 52:21	59:6, 59:8, 59:12,	Execute 36:10,
end 7:24, 19:23,	59:18, 59:21,	37:17, 39:25,
26:14, 26:19,	59:22, 59:25,	47:21
31:5, 35:7, 40:14	60:2, 62:13	executed 53:13
ends 19:22, 42:3	Esq 2:32, 2:39, 3:4,	execution 37:24,
energized 11:14	3:5, 3:10, 3:13,	38:1
enforce 27:15,	3:17	exercise 21:4
27:23, 28:8, 43:5,	ESR 52:15	Exhibit 17:11, 54:3
48:14, 57:23, 58:4	essentially 27:25,	Exhibits 4:9, 53:10,
engaged 35:8	30:15, 37:3,	62:12
engagement 19:4,	40:15, 42:3,	exist 37:4, 41:1,
19:13	42:13, 42:17,	45:8, 63:24
engaging 21:16	42:22, 43:1, 57:2	existence 51:3
English 32:10	Establish 30:5,	exists 51:1
ensure 29:5, 52:19	57:4, 60:20	expand 12:5
entailed 30:10	established 58:18	expect 7:8, 7:14,
enter 28:22, 30:3,	estimate 17:17	11:22, 35:17,

58:16, 64:7
expectation 28:21
expectations 20:15
expected 13:15, 20:8
expects 22:18,
22:19, 30:1
expedited 33:25
expeditiously 31:3
expend 10:8
expended 10:6, 17:17
expenditures 9:16
expenses 46:5
expensive 10:20
explain 48:7
explained 43:8
explicitly 19:8,
19:12, 21:2, 40:20
exponential 20:5
exposures 12:9
express 55:5
expressly 39:10
extend 52:14
extended 11:20
extent 18:7, 31:17,
50:21, 61:21
extremely 35:9

< F >

facilities 52:14
facility 9:10
fact 11:25, 18:2,
28:7, 39:1, 40:19,
45:1, 52:16
factor 13:20, 44:8
factors 44:7, 51:18,
57:7, 61:13
facts 6:19, 38:5,
44:13, 64:10
factual 6:24, 37:12,
37:23, 38:23,
39:1, 39:5, 44:11,
61:11, 61:12
fade 7:2
failed 57:4
fails 42:25
failure 43:18
fairly 45:4
fairness 39:22, 46:2
fall 19:19, 20:1

falling 19:24
far 12:22, 20:3
Farr 29:13
favor 46:11, 57:8
favors 46:25
feasible 49:22
feature 23:16
Federal 9:24, 10:1,
10:3, 10:6, 10:9,
25:14, 41:4
Fee 3:19, 15:19,
16:1, 16:3, 16:18,
17:1, 17:21,
18:12, 18:20,
19:24, 20:2, 22:4,
23:5, 23:8, 24:17,
24:18, 25:6, 26:1,
29:20, 29:21,
29:22, 29:23, 30:1
fee-related 22:8
feel 17:12
feels 20:12, 20:25
fees 16:24, 18:6,
18:8, 20:23
felt 47:7
few 5:21, 7:23,
12:1, 18:13
fide 53:17
fifth 43:6
FIGUEROA 3:13, 36:5,
36:6, 36:7, 37:8,
38:22, 40:2,
47:10, 47:12
File 23:5, 27:16,
29:3, 29:7, 30:16,
31:19, 32:1, 40:8,
47:2, 62:5
filed 8:15, 12:2,
13:10, 16:3,
17:23, 27:13,
27:16, 27:21,
27:24, 28:7, 28:8,
28:19, 30:9,
30:20, 30:22,
30:24, 32:15,
32:19, 34:13,
35:7, 36:14,
36:19, 55:1, 57:1,
61:14
filing 16:5, 31:7,

32:11, 36:16,
55:16, 62:23
final 12:19, 15:13,
33:12, 51:25
finalize 35:6
Finally 44:6, 46:24,
50:24
Financial 1:9, 1:25,
2:7, 3:2, 9:4,
50:15, 52:13
financially 38:8,
38:17
financing 9:19,
55:25
find 43:10, 44:4,
44:19, 55:4, 55:10
finding 60:21, 61:11
findings 6:24
finds 37:18, 37:19,
37:23, 49:16
FINGER 3:8, 40:4,
40:6, 40:13,
44:20, 44:21
finishing 22:20
firm 5:23, 5:25,
18:24, 24:12,
24:13, 25:25,
44:24
firms 19:21, 20:2,
24:13, 26:15
First 5:21, 6:14,
9:5, 18:13, 19:18,
19:25, 24:9,
40:19, 41:7, 43:1,
43:15, 45:16,
48:8, 48:10, 57:21
Fiscal 3:1, 12:24,
13:1, 19:22, 28:6
five 8:9
five-part 42:24
flat 17:1, 19:6
fleet 11:3
focus 36:12, 38:6
focused 62:1
following 12:25,
19:11, 54:18
follows 8:6, 54:11
footnote 60:24
force 54:19, 55:12
foresight 23:19

form 15:12, 15:13,
23:17, 31:19,
41:15
formally 24:21
Forman 29:16
formerly 29:24
forth 46:21
forward 8:8, 10:11,
10:25, 20:25,
21:17, 21:23,
39:4, 39:6, 40:23,
44:5, 65:11
fossil 10:20
foundation 17:5
four 8:9
fourth 23:5
frame 7:20, 34:13,
35:10
frankly 22:21
fuel 14:4
fuels 10:20, 11:7
full 50:15, 54:19,
55:12
fully 11:15, 19:24,
47:15
fund 59:8, 59:19,
59:22, 60:2
fundamental 13:20,
45:14, 47:5
fundamentally 46:1,
51:2
funds 10:7, 10:9,
52:11, 52:25,
54:24, 59:12,
59:13, 60:23,
62:17, 63:13
future 13:20

< G >

Garcia 16:6
gas 11:8
gave 64:13
GDB 8:8
general 17:20,
37:16, 59:8,
59:12, 59:19,
59:22, 60:2
generally 17:18,
63:19

generate 14:4
generation 10:13,
10:19, 11:3, 11:6,
11:10
generic 22:13
gets 23:6
getting 22:22
give 12:21, 15:9,
18:25, 25:3,
38:20, 46:13,
64:12
given 18:7, 20:8,
22:14, 26:22, 34:4
gives 63:17
giving 26:6
glad 21:15
global 34:24
goal 11:5, 23:18,
29:9, 35:7
Godfrey 16:1, 22:12
governing 50:8
Government 9:24,
10:1, 10:6, 13:21,
15:7, 17:2, 25:1,
26:3
governmental 64:8
grab 46:9
Grain 3:13, 36:2,
36:8, 47:20
grant 37:3, 52:13
granted 54:3, 56:2,
57:18
granting 57:17
grateful 5:13
great 26:13
greater 30:16
Greenberg 40:6
grid 11:5, 11:11
grossed 26:15
grounds 37:23, 39:5
Group 11:19, 12:1,
12:5, 12:8
groups 45:18
growth 13:19, 13:20,
20:7, 21:24
GSA 17:2, 17:4
guaranteed 54:6
guarantees 53:15
guaranty 53:21
guesswork 15:10

guidance 18:3

< H >
Hampshire 40:20
hand 9:10, 14:22
handled 14:10, 31:18
handles 8:8
handling 44:10
happen 61:22
happened 20:1, 61:23
happening 10:22,
24:24
happens 24:12, 26:19
happenstance 50:11
happy 6:14, 7:17,
17:7, 17:24,
27:20, 33:19
hard 12:15, 12:20,
20:20, 20:21
hardly 55:4
harmless 37:24
harms 43:12, 44:2
Hastings 33:18
Haynes 3:9
he'll 20:11, 40:11
head 62:6
hear 6:6, 6:8,
21:15, 34:17,
63:16
heard 8:16, 15:12,
22:4, 22:8, 29:14
HEARING 2:21, 6:10,
23:16, 25:11,
28:2, 33:1, 33:6,
33:7, 35:10,
35:13, 36:13,
64:22, 64:23, 65:1
hearings 16:22,
24:20, 27:10, 65:7
heart 17:9, 18:3
held 27:25, 59:19,
60:2
help 57:19
helpful 32:22
Hermann 2:32
Highways 2:13
hikes 15:5, 18:24
hindsight 23:18
Hoc 11:19, 12:1

hold 6:7, 11:23,
48:18, 58:13, 63:1
holders 11:23, 50:16
Holdings 5:23, 6:21,
43:23
Honorable 2:22, 66:6
hope 8:23, 12:15,
12:16, 26:18,
35:20
hoped 35:3, 35:5
Hopefully 20:16,
21:8, 23:19, 31:5,
32:17, 34:10
hoping 35:6
hourly 17:18, 19:7
hours 12:1, 17:14,
17:17
HTA 14:12, 14:22,
14:24, 15:2
hundred 43:22
hurricane 9:22

< I >

identification 61:23
identified 5:20
identity 51:4, 51:9
ignore 45:17
III 1:8, 14:14,
31:23, 36:16,
47:1, 47:4, 48:1,
55:17, 60:7
illegally 62:18
impact 19:25, 20:3,
20:7, 25:21
impede 26:20
implement 19:21
implications 6:19
importance 62:12
important 21:20,
22:13, 23:7, 31:2,
33:21, 34:9, 45:21
importantly 39:8,
53:24
imposed 19:19, 21:2,
39:12, 49:25
imposes 42:22
imposition 21:4
improving 13:8
inaudible 6:25

Inc. 3:13, 36:8,
47:21
include 12:7, 16:12
included 31:9, 32:3,
36:22
including 8:22,
53:21
incorporated 37:15
increase 25:16,
31:8, 52:20, 52:23
increased 15:6,
25:2, 43:20
increases 18:20,
19:7, 19:12,
19:15, 19:17,
19:25, 20:8,
20:15, 20:17,
21:2, 21:5, 21:11
indenture 39:11,
39:17, 39:19
indentured 38:3
independent 50:12
independently 14:10,
45:20
indicated 18:11,
18:19, 32:13, 35:4
indicating 51:19
individual 31:19,
48:17, 49:2, 49:4,
50:7, 50:10, 51:9,
51:13, 55:6,
57:25, 58:12,
58:18, 59:18,
60:3, 60:22, 60:25
individualized 38:16
industry 13:3, 24:13
inequitable 50:9,
50:17, 51:2
inform 62:3
information 9:6,
24:4, 26:6, 35:12,
61:1
informative 13:10,
18:11, 27:21,
28:14, 28:20,
29:8, 62:5, 62:21,
62:24, 64:12,
64:15
initial 12:6
insight 18:6, 21:18,

64:9
insignificant 20:4
institutions 52:13
instructions 16:14,
16:22
instrument 49:3
insured 12:8, 12:13
insurers 12:2, 12:7,
12:12
integrated 13:14
intend 30:3, 47:1
intended 25:14
intends 21:6
intensive 39:1
intent 59:16
inter 49:11
interconnectedness
14:21
intercreditor 49:10
interest 5:6, 7:12,
13:3, 13:21,
26:18, 51:8,
53:21, 54:15,
57:17, 57:18,
57:22
interested 13:7
interests 26:4,
53:19, 57:16
interim 16:3, 16:17
interposed 32:13
interrelationships
14:11
introduce 7:11
investigate 6:18
investigation 6:23,
61:22
investigations 18:4
investments 48:3
investors 10:23
invoked 43:13
involved 15:7
involvement 46:25
island 10:14, 11:10,
11:12, 13:19,
21:24
issue 13:12, 19:11,
20:20, 25:12,
25:21, 36:13,
36:18, 37:19,
38:20, 42:21,

50:24, 53:15,
54:8, 60:7, 63:13,
63:15, 63:18,
63:22
issued 56:3
issuer 37:7, 49:5,
50:8, 50:13, 50:14
issues 5:21, 19:5,
20:16, 20:22,
21:16, 22:4, 22:9,
22:21, 29:7,
33:19, 64:10
item 15:18, 16:7,
24:1, 27:11,
29:24, 30:4, 36:2
items 29:25
itself 36:20

< J >

January 8:24, 13:15,
20:1, 35:18, 35:21
job 21:20
John 2:45
Jointly 1:11, 1:24,
2:6
Juan 2:39, 5:1, 5:7,
5:9, 64:25
Judge 2:22, 2:23,
5:17, 15:25, 16:3,
22:2, 66:7
judgment 57:11
judicial 44:8
juncture 22:15
June 13:3

< K >

Kahn 16:1, 22:12
Katherine 3:20,
15:25
Katiuska 3:10
Keep 65:11
keeping 11:4, 15:4
kept 53:6, 59:23,
63:14
Kevin 3:8, 40:6
kicked 8:13
Kim 62:1
kind 38:9

knowledge 15:8,
24:23, 54:6
knows 8:6, 9:2,
9:22, 10:15,
14:20, 63:11,
63:18
Kobre 61:25

< L >

lacking 51:10
lacks 49:17, 51:12
laid 17:24, 18:3
language 53:13,
55:8, 56:16
large 11:14, 32:25
last 10:9, 16:4,
20:1, 20:13
late 26:7
later 24:6, 28:22,
29:9, 29:10,
45:25, 46:23
Laura 2:22, 66:7
Law 40:18, 40:24,
41:1, 41:4, 42:20,
44:24, 49:1, 49:4,
52:11, 52:16,
52:17, 52:18,
52:23, 54:9,
55:14, 55:19,
56:4, 56:6, 56:11,
57:16, 62:11,
62:14, 63:13
lead 24:5
least 7:8, 12:17,
23:16, 25:24,
41:24, 42:25
leave 29:20
leaving 9:13
Lecaroz 2:35
lectern 6:8
led 8:14, 18:5
legal 5:25, 24:13,
39:6, 50:5, 51:14,
60:7
legally 38:15
legislation 8:23,
13:14, 24:7,
24:19, 59:7, 59:16
legislators 24:22

less 8:16, 10:20,
24:14, 48:18
level 47:6, 64:9
levels 11:12, 43:13
liability 31:22,
36:17
lien 54:17, 54:18,
54:23, 55:11
lift 44:7, 44:18
lifted 60:17
Lifting 60:9
light 38:25, 45:6,
46:15, 51:6
likelihood 24:8
likely 14:13, 24:5,
24:25
Likewise 31:16
limitations 49:25
limited 39:23, 60:6
limits 21:1, 49:14,
52:23
line 29:1
lines 11:15
liquid 11:8
list 16:8, 16:10,
20:19, 29:25
listed 29:22, 64:17
listened 47:17
litigation 64:11
little 19:1, 22:23,
25:21, 43:21
live 33:8
LLC 49:8
LLP 44:24
loan 9:10, 9:12,
9:13, 53:2, 54:12,
54:15, 55:13,
56:15
loans 52:22, 54:3,
54:8, 54:20,
54:25, 55:18,
55:24, 56:1
lodge 47:7
long 29:9
longer 22:23
look 5:25, 21:17,
23:8
looking 24:18, 31:8
lose 61:15
lot 63:17

lots 9:23
LPRA 42:22
Luc 2:38, 33:17,
63:8
Lugo 3:10
Luis 3:4, 56:23
LUSKIN 5:25, 6:1,
6:5, 6:6, 6:8,
6:12, 7:5, 7:16,
7:22, 7:25, 8:1

< M >
main 33:22, 60:4
maintained 49:2
maintaining 11:5
major 8:8, 8:25,
9:1, 10:6, 14:9,
29:6, 46:1
manage 38:19
Management 1:10,
1:26, 2:8
mandatory 43:12
Maria 3:13, 36:7
MARINI 3:4, 56:21,
56:23, 57:13,
57:21, 58:11,
58:17, 58:23,
59:1, 59:15,
59:25, 61:3, 61:5,
61:19, 62:2, 62:6,
62:8
market 13:2, 17:20,
19:21, 21:11
Martin 2:29, 5:18
Maslon 44:24
massive 23:20, 23:21
material 25:23
matter 6:18, 7:12,
8:25, 10:23,
12:18, 26:13,
27:25, 36:13,
37:22, 47:12,
51:25, 52:16,
62:1, 62:19, 63:1,
63:17
matters 5:24, 8:25,
18:12, 35:11,
35:25, 64:17
maximized 21:21

Mckinsey 5:22, 5:23,
6:20, 16:17,
16:24, 17:5,
17:13, 17:23
mean 55:5
meaningless 34:5
means 22:22, 51:4
measures 21:19
meet 39:5
meeting 11:25
Mellon 31:14
members 5:6
mention 33:20
mentioned 7:19,
36:11
Mercedes 36:7
mere 51:4
merits 56:18, 63:12
met 42:23, 43:2
method 10:19
methodology 16:23
mic 6:9
Michael 6:1
microphone 7:2, 7:17
mid 13:3
milestones 13:1
Milian 16:6
million 9:9, 9:11,
9:12, 9:14, 9:18,
37:13, 41:15,
43:15, 43:18,
45:22
mind 11:4, 15:4,
33:12, 38:19
minimis 38:8, 38:18,
43:14
minimize 20:16
minute 6:7
minutes 40:11
model 19:11
Modification 8:8
Modifications 8:5,
32:4, 33:11
moment 20:18
Monday 27:20
money 10:3, 10:5,
25:12, 45:9, 56:12
monoline 12:2, 12:12
monolines 12:7
Monsita 2:35

month 9:17
months 7:8, 7:23,
12:18, 23:9
MORGADE 3:13, 36:5,
36:6, 36:7, 37:8,
38:22, 40:2,
47:10, 47:12
morning 5:5, 5:17,
6:5, 6:11, 6:12,
15:24, 15:25,
22:10, 22:11,
33:17, 36:5, 36:7,
40:4, 40:5, 52:6,
52:7, 56:21, 56:22
mortgage 54:20
motions 18:11,
62:13, 63:10
motive 52:18
motives 52:17
Movant 36:9, 41:5,
42:2, 42:25, 45:1,
46:11, 46:16,
48:2, 48:9, 48:13,
49:7, 49:17,
49:22, 49:24,
50:1, 50:3, 50:10,
50:22, 50:25,
51:12, 57:4
move 14:1, 33:5,
35:25, 40:23,
44:4, 63:24, 65:10
moves 36:24
moving 10:11, 16:9,
27:7
MS 2:35, 3:3, 3:5,
3:10, 3:13, 3:20,
15:24, 15:25,
16:21, 18:9,
18:16, 18:18,
18:21, 18:23,
19:2, 20:7, 22:2,
23:10, 23:15,
29:19, 29:24,
34:25, 36:5, 36:6,
37:8, 38:22, 40:2,
47:10, 47:12
multiple 31:7, 31:14
multiples 30:23,
31:11
Muniz 56:24

mutual 42:8
mutuality 41:3,
41:7, 42:5, 42:19,
42:22, 43:1, 45:3,
50:24, 51:1, 51:3
myself 7:11, 46:9

< N >

name 36:7, 48:20
names 22:5
Nathan 3:9
National 2:43,
36:19, 44:24
natural 11:8
nature 16:24, 18:4,
18:7, 23:1, 32:8,
46:17, 46:19,
57:15, 60:20
near 9:20
nearly 14:15
necessarily 15:3,
46:18, 51:3
necessary 21:8,
23:17, 46:15,
46:20, 52:20
need 6:6, 7:1,
10:24, 15:1,
17:13, 21:2,
23:21, 30:24,
34:7, 35:25,
43:17, 46:22,
48:23, 51:17,
57:14, 59:1
needed 23:21, 29:20
needs 64:19
neglected 29:19
negotiated 17:3,
21:17
negotiation 27:17
negotiations 11:21,
14:18, 15:3,
22:22, 22:23
net 24:25, 25:16
New 2:31, 5:7, 5:12,
6:1, 12:1, 22:5,
22:8, 24:1, 24:4,
26:24, 27:2,
29:13, 29:14,
31:13, 40:20,

49:9, 56:6, 56:7,
64:24, 65:3, 65:6
news 15:15
next 8:17, 8:25,
9:1, 15:18, 22:4,
29:3, 30:4, 36:2,
42:24, 64:22, 65:1
nine 9:3, 40:10
no-action 36:21,
37:1, 37:10,
37:19, 37:20,
39:10, 41:21,
42:1, 42:3, 43:2,
43:3, 45:2, 48:12,
48:17, 48:25,
49:19, 49:20,
50:1, 50:22, 51:6
No. 1:6, 1:22, 2:4,
22:9, 24:23
nobody 58:21
Nodding 62:6
noncontractual 50:4
None 4:5, 4:11,
64:21
nonrecoursed 42:16
nonsubstantive 32:8
nontimekeeping 16:25
note 23:7, 46:16
noted 40:8
notes 26:22
noteworthy 22:20
Nothing 8:22, 24:2,
29:16, 29:17,
31:17, 44:7, 49:3
Notice 28:20, 30:10,
32:1, 32:10,
32:15, 32:20,
34:13
notices 32:9
noticing 32:25
notification 53:25
notified 53:18
November 8:18, 31:6,
64:15, 64:22
Number 17:10, 29:25,
30:6, 30:25, 31:1,
31:8, 36:3, 36:11,
47:22, 51:21,
52:3, 52:11,
53:18, 53:24

< O >

object 28:10
Objection 21:7,
23:18, 30:5,
30:14, 31:9,
31:19, 32:4,
32:13, 40:8, 47:2,
47:8, 55:5, 56:6,
57:1, 57:2, 60:18,
61:6
objections 23:2,
30:11, 30:16,
31:8, 31:19,
32:25, 36:23,
55:15
objectors 36:20,
36:21
obligation 41:16,
41:17, 42:10
obligations 42:9
obligee 51:5, 51:10
obligor 51:5, 51:10
observation 22:14
observations 17:21
observing 5:7
obtain 50:13
Obviously 10:6,
12:7, 24:17, 31:2,
35:3, 37:6, 45:8,
46:10
occur 28:1, 28:8
occurred 24:2
October 9:17
offered 4:5, 4:11
offers 49:22
offhand 63:23
Official 2:37, 66:13
offset 25:1, 25:15
often 34:2
Okay 6:3, 6:12,
18:16
Omni 35:18, 64:18
Omnibus 2:21, 30:5,
30:16, 31:7, 31:9,
31:25, 32:25,
33:1, 33:6, 33:7,
65:1
once 15:2, 59:5

One 10:18, 12:2, 14:17, 14:22, 16:5, 16:13, 24:1, 28:22, 32:22, 37:13, 39:4, 44:19, 46:11, 53:14, 54:25, 55:19, 55:23, 57:3 one-off 31:18, 38:16 ones 34:16, 34:17 ongoing 14:18, 15:3, 18:20, 59:5, 59:17, 61:22, 65:6 open 39:3 operate 43:20 operating 9:8, 13:8 operation 13:4 operational 9:15, 52:19 operations 9:16, 9:20, 9:21, 10:11 Operative 29:22, 48:11, 49:13, 50:23 opportunity 28:13, 37:17 oppose 32:7 opposed 28:20 oppositions 36:18 oral 47:18, 51:21 Order 8:7, 16:11, 27:14, 30:2, 30:3, 32:3, 32:16, 32:19, 32:21, 33:12, 36:10, 36:11, 36:14, 50:1, 51:19 Ordered 29:1 originally 16:12 originating 54:12 os 3:10 Others 14:12, 19:8, 52:17 otherwise 10:8, 28:10, 29:2, 35:12, 50:20 out-of-pocket 26:15 out-of-state 25:15 outset 25:4 outside 24:11,	24:13, 25:8, 48:24 outstanding 11:24, 41:25, 48:19 overarching 11:5 Oversight 1:9, 1:25, 2:7, 5:15, 5:18, 5:24, 11:17, 17:3, 19:6, 26:25, 27:18, 33:24, 34:9 overstate 62:12 owe 41:16 owed 36:17, 41:15, 42:11, 45:22, 48:3 owes 41:14, 41:17, 43:15 own 6:24, 24:12, 48:20, 55:25 < P > P3 13:2, 13:6, 13:12, 13:25 PAGE 4:3 pages 66:4 paid 9:11 Pan 3:12, 36:2, 36:8, 36:9, 36:12, 36:14, 36:17, 36:24, 36:25, 37:8, 37:11, 37:16, 37:21, 39:7, 39:8, 39:12, 39:22, 39:25, 40:16, 40:22, 41:14, 41:16, 42:11, 43:4, 43:15, 45:10, 47:20 papers 43:8, 46:19, 58:18, 59:4 paperwork 35:14 paragraph 55:5, 56:6 part 15:6, 24:24, 42:10, 58:2, 59:7, 59:21, 59:25, 60:7, 60:8, 60:15 participant 52:21, 52:24, 53:5, 53:6, 53:16, 53:17, 53:19, 54:12	participants 5:8, 52:14, 52:15, 53:12, 55:3, 56:1, 56:7 participating 47:3 particular 6:18, 7:20, 38:7, 38:23, 42:1, 47:2, 64:8, 65:5 particularly 43:22 parties 5:6, 7:11, 7:14, 11:21, 13:7, 35:11, 41:8, 41:13, 41:20, 42:5, 42:8, 44:14, 48:6, 54:21, 64:7, 64:8 Partnership 13:2 parts 10:13 party 12:12, 37:4, 37:5, 37:7, 43:7, 62:22 Paul 33:18 pay 14:6, 51:7 PAYGO 55:20, 55:22, 57:16, 59:7, 59:16 payment 9:12, 42:14, 50:4, 50:14, 50:16, 56:15 payroll 52:25 payrolls 55:3 PAZ 3:17, 52:4, 52:5, 52:8, 53:8, 55:21, 56:20 PBA 14:12 pending 16:18, 28:1 pension 57:25, 58:4, 58:7, 60:5, 60:12, 60:14 pensioner 58:16 pensioners 53:11 people 13:21, 18:13, 21:3, 22:5, 26:22 percent 11:9, 11:11, 11:12, 11:13, 11:14, 24:10, 24:14, 25:5, 25:7, 41:24, 48:18, 50:14 percentage 52:20
--	---	---

performed 18:8
perhaps 34:20, 46:1
period 16:4, 16:16,
16:18, 19:24,
22:21, 27:17,
30:10
permeates 63:15
permission 16:11,
42:20
permissive 43:11
permit 40:22, 41:22,
42:4, 44:3, 44:4,
44:18
permits 42:21
permitted 43:4
person 6:14, 16:2,
17:14, 35:13,
35:15
personal 15:7,
26:17, 52:22,
54:20
perspective 25:3,
45:10, 45:12
pertains 24:1
pervasive 63:22
petition 55:16
phase 14:2
PHV 2:29, 2:30,
2:38, 2:44, 2:45,
3:3, 3:8, 3:9,
3:19, 3:20
physical 13:17
Pietrantonio 56:23
pivotal 36:13,
36:18, 37:18
place 11:20, 20:14,
21:19, 29:9,
48:10, 56:2, 64:24
Plan 8:14, 12:19,
12:24, 13:1,
13:14, 27:8, 28:4,
28:6, 28:10, 31:5,
34:2, 35:4, 56:8,
57:15, 58:15,
58:20, 58:21,
60:8, 60:15, 61:21
planning 27:16
plans 8:4, 15:2
platform 21:22
pleadings 29:3

please 62:5
pledged 46:2, 46:3,
46:4, 46:8
plus 16:13
podium 27:3, 33:16
point 24:22, 28:15,
46:24, 55:17
points 23:16
policies 6:25
portion 6:2, 29:20
position 9:7, 40:2,
46:17, 46:21,
63:12
possession 9:10,
9:13
possibility 32:6,
38:11
possible 7:24, 11:4,
12:20, 12:21,
13:22, 15:11,
15:14, 31:4,
38:15, 59:2
possibly 32:12
posted 13:6
potential 20:5,
60:12
Power 1:32, 3:7,
11:9, 11:11,
13:20, 14:4,
36:17, 37:3, 48:9
powers 36:25, 37:10,
49:4, 49:14, 49:24
practical 10:23,
50:13
practice 19:18
PRASA 14:12
precedential 49:23
predict 12:15
preliminary 11:18
prepare 10:24
prepared 6:2, 61:2
preparing 65:7
prepetition 36:15,
36:16, 39:14,
41:16, 43:16,
48:3, 55:18
present 49:18
presentations 51:24
presented 40:15,
47:4, 51:11

presenting 57:10
presentment 28:21
press 5:6
presumptive 20:13,
20:14, 21:9
previews 20:2
previous 16:22
previously 30:19,
56:2
price 17:2, 17:4
pricing 17:4, 17:5,
19:11
principal 48:19,
51:8, 54:15
principle 40:22,
45:21, 45:24, 46:2
principles 5:14,
25:15, 45:14, 47:5
prior 36:15, 57:16
private 13:4
probabilistic 15:10
probably 21:13,
24:16
problem 63:25
problems 23:2,
29:10, 45:25
procedural 57:9
procedure 33:20,
33:25
Procedures 6:24,
30:5, 30:14,
31:25, 32:2, 32:4,
34:24, 35:6
proceed 45:20
proceeding 7:7,
10:18, 11:2,
12:23, 49:6
Proceedings 3:42,
5:12, 6:14, 26:21,
48:1, 48:14, 49:1,
60:8, 60:9, 64:20,
65:13, 66:6
process 8:12, 12:22,
13:2, 13:15,
13:25, 22:1, 23:6,
23:9, 28:16,
28:18, 29:5, 34:8,
35:9, 47:1, 60:16
processes 11:2, 20:9
produced 3:42

productive 21:22	39:20, 40:18,	quite 17:13, 22:13,
professionals 19:3,	41:4, 48:17, 51:6	22:21, 32:12,
19:13, 20:21,	provisions 12:3,	32:22
21:25, 22:17,	28:23, 37:16,	
22:19, 23:4,	41:12, 49:16	
23:12, 24:17,	Public 5:6, 6:21,	< R >
24:21, 25:9,	6:23, 7:3, 7:7,	raised 26:6
25:19, 26:17	7:9, 40:19	rare 26:8
proffer 61:2	Public-private 13:1	rate 17:18, 19:7,
progress 12:13,	publicly 24:21	19:12, 19:25,
13:17	PUERTO 1:3, 1:11,	20:7, 20:15,
prohibits 48:17	1:16, 1:27, 1:31,	20:17, 21:1, 21:4,
projects 17:15	2:9, 2:13, 2:29,	21:10, 56:10
PROMESA 37:15,	3:1, 3:6, 5:1,	rates 15:6, 18:25,
39:16, 48:2	6:21, 21:22, 24:8,	25:2
promotion 18:25	24:11, 25:5, 25:8,	Rather 24:6, 28:22,
promptly 30:2	34:6, 42:20,	29:8, 29:10, 32:8,
prone 14:13	53:17, 54:14,	46:11, 51:8
Proof 46:22, 55:1	65:2, 65:6, 65:11,	rationale 38:13
proper 51:15	66:2	Re 1:6, 1:22, 2:4,
property 50:18, 56:8	pull 15:11	49:7
proposal 8:4, 12:11,	purpose 52:18	read 47:16
13:13, 21:10	purposes 45:3	real 26:14
proposals 10:12,	pursuant 48:1,	really 7:10, 7:23,
13:24, 25:6	54:21, 57:17,	10:17, 12:20,
propose 14:3, 15:1,	62:14	15:10, 19:25,
20:14, 26:1, 56:11	pursue 50:1, 61:24	30:14, 30:24,
Proposed 8:14, 8:15,	pursued 61:13	31:22, 33:22,
16:11, 30:2,	pursuing 11:6, 48:19	37:25, 38:22,
30:14, 32:3,	put 17:14, 20:14,	38:25, 39:11,
32:16, 33:12,	21:19, 40:14,	45:14, 45:23,
34:17, 38:7,	45:23, 54:7, 60:17	46:14, 47:4
44:10, 50:10,		reason 31:14
64:23		reasonableness 16:23
prorata 41:19, 44:1	< Q >	reasoning 17:23
Proskauer 5:18,	Qualifications 13:6,	reasons 42:8, 48:6,
5:23, 27:5, 30:8	13:8, 13:24	51:20, 60:17,
prospect 7:20	qualified 13:13	63:10
prospective 21:10,	Qualifying 8:5, 8:7	recalls 30:18
23:12	question 32:22,	reategorizes 42:17
provide 14:20, 19:7,	32:24, 38:7, 48:8	receipts 9:15, 41:19
24:14, 37:16,	questions 7:16,	receive 30:11, 38:1
43:9, 43:18, 55:25	17:7, 17:24,	received 9:6, 63:3,
provided 29:1,	18:10, 18:15	63:4
30:10, 32:10	quickly 13:22	receiver 12:3, 12:12
providers 18:22	QUILICHINI 3:17,	recent 5:22, 6:17,
provides 27:25	52:4, 52:5, 52:8,	6:19, 12:25
providing 24:15,	53:8, 55:21,	recognition 51:15
51:7	56:20, 62:9,	recommendations
provision 28:5,	62:10, 62:25, 63:5	16:15

recommended 16:12,
29:21
recommending 16:4,
17:22
record 47:19, 51:20,
60:20, 61:7
recorded 3:42
recording 5:11
recourse 42:14,
42:18
recoveries 46:4
recovery 43:22,
43:25
reduction 25:23
reenergize 13:19
refer 47:22, 53:9
referenced 41:21
referred 6:16,
27:15, 29:24,
55:20
referring 27:9,
48:17, 53:16
regard 27:1, 38:21
regarding 5:10,
33:20, 37:24
Region 2:35
register 31:15
rejected 40:20
relate 31:22, 64:10
related 13:25, 18:25
relation 26:23
relationships 50:12
relatively 35:14,
63:16
reliability 12:20
Relief 30:12, 36:3,
36:9, 39:22,
43:11, 47:21,
47:24, 50:7, 50:8,
50:17, 51:16, 52:1
rely 43:20
remain 54:19, 55:12
remaining 59:7
remains 11:20
remark 63:23
remarks 23:11,
47:11, 62:9, 64:19
remedies 48:11,
48:20, 57:10
remedy 51:13, 51:16

remind 48:22
reminder 5:10
remove 31:3
removed 32:6
repair 9:23, 10:7
repay 41:17, 42:10
replacement 9:23,
10:7
reply 33:5, 40:16,
47:10, 62:9
report 5:16, 5:20,
5:21, 6:3, 6:23,
7:4, 7:7, 7:9,
7:10, 7:18, 8:3,
8:5, 9:1, 9:5,
15:19, 16:3, 16:5,
16:10, 16:16,
17:6, 17:11,
17:12, 17:24,
18:4, 18:19, 20:2,
22:14, 23:11,
27:20, 29:23
reported 30:18,
57:23
Reporter 66:13
reports 26:25, 32:11
representative 1:13,
1:29, 2:11
representatives 9:7
represented 62:16
represents 5:23,
50:14
Request 5:20, 12:17,
13:6, 13:12, 18:2,
25:19, 28:19,
28:21, 32:22,
33:4, 36:10,
36:14, 39:6,
39:23, 42:13,
44:13, 45:7, 51:15
requested 30:12,
38:24
requesting 37:9,
37:11, 39:13
requests 10:12
require 9:19, 21:13,
29:2, 44:12, 61:9
required 35:12,
54:13, 59:2, 61:21
requirement 41:6,

42:22, 43:2, 43:6,
45:17, 50:25, 51:3
requirements 41:2,
43:1, 48:21
requires 9:10, 41:3,
44:14, 49:1
resiliency 11:5
resolution 20:23,
46:20, 60:9, 60:16
resolve 32:14,
33:19, 38:25
resolved 16:7,
16:10, 34:5
resolves 51:21
resource 13:14
resources 11:7,
21:21, 21:23,
23:20, 23:22
respect 11:16,
22:25, 27:7, 28:6,
31:13, 32:18,
34:24, 44:6,
46:17, 46:19,
46:21, 49:15
respective 19:4
respects 10:3
respond 6:2, 62:20
response 62:24
responses 10:12,
13:9
responsible 61:23
rest 56:17
restating 62:11
restored 11:9
restructure 8:11
Restructuring 9:1,
10:16, 11:16,
11:18, 12:10,
13:18, 20:9
restructurings
10:18, 14:10,
15:12
result 24:25
resulted 8:12, 17:11
results 21:17
retained 17:1
retention 6:17,
43:7, 43:9
Retirement 52:15,
53:17, 57:25,

58:4, 58:7, 58:18,
59:3
retrospective 23:8
revenues 14:23,
25:1, 25:16,
43:20, 46:3, 46:4,
46:8
review 22:21, 23:6,
28:14, 38:23,
39:1, 44:12
reviewed 12:11,
13:25
reviewing 10:12,
19:14, 28:16,
28:18, 29:5
Revised 32:1, 32:19,
32:21
revision 50:6
rewrite 37:3, 37:10
RFQ 13:7, 13:10
RICO 1:3, 1:11,
1:16, 1:27, 1:31,
2:9, 2:13, 2:29,
3:1, 3:6, 5:1,
6:21, 21:22, 24:8,
24:11, 25:6, 25:8,
34:6, 42:20,
53:17, 54:14,
65:2, 65:6, 65:11,
66:2
rights 39:9, 41:9,
41:13, 43:7, 43:9,
45:19, 47:6,
48:11, 49:11,
49:14, 56:8, 58:2,
58:7, 59:9, 60:5,
60:6, 60:14
risk 45:24
Rivero 3:5
Roads 49:8
Rose 5:18, 27:5,
30:8, 47:6
ROSEN 2:30, 27:4,
27:5, 28:25,
29:11, 29:15,
30:7, 33:3, 33:9,
33:11, 33:15,
34:20, 34:23,
35:20, 35:23
RSA 11:18, 11:21,

12:6, 12:18
rubric 46:6
Rule 30:15
rules 5:10, 45:23
ruling 16:14, 47:18
run 11:3, 42:9

< S >
S/ 66:11
sad 24:24
safe 65:8
San 5:1, 5:7, 5:9,
64:24
satisfied 23:1
satisfy 41:5, 42:25
save 31:22
saved 44:9
savings 53:6, 54:13
saying 23:13, 29:20,
46:7, 63:13
says 53:25, 55:10,
56:5, 56:7, 60:24
scenario 37:12
schedule 12:23,
17:4, 29:23
scheduled 33:1,
64:16, 64:22
Scheduling 27:14
scheme 38:8
se 49:11
second 23:6, 46:1,
58:24, 61:3
Section 37:21, 41:2,
41:22, 42:15,
42:19, 42:24,
43:8, 47:25, 48:2,
48:16, 49:17,
50:25, 54:10,
54:16, 54:19,
54:22, 55:9,
55:10, 55:11
sector 12:23
secure 52:22
secured 42:10, 57:24
Security 5:22,
57:17, 57:18
Seeing 64:21
seek 11:22, 51:12
seeking 13:13,

26:15, 45:15
seeks 47:24, 50:22
seen 19:15, 19:25
segments 9:5
segregated 59:13,
59:18, 59:20,
60:23, 62:17
segregation 60:1,
60:3, 61:21
Seniority 18:25,
19:16, 21:12
sense 10:8, 12:21,
25:3, 34:12
separate 53:5, 59:23
separately 53:5
seriously 7:13
serve 9:16
Service 11:15,
18:22, 36:17,
40:20, 41:15,
43:16, 50:12
services 24:14
session 48:22
set 23:5, 35:9,
41:14, 46:21,
48:2, 51:5, 56:13,
59:3
setoff 40:21, 42:17,
43:11
settle 32:11
settled 27:23
settlement 38:7
seven 33:7
several 9:3, 10:12,
11:20
shall 54:19, 55:11,
56:2, 56:8, 63:13
share 41:19, 43:25,
46:5
shift 60:9
shoes 58:3
shortcut 45:17
show 53:9
shown 54:3
side 64:8, 65:10
sign 29:6
significant 9:24,
11:23, 12:8, 12:9,
13:3, 16:19,
43:13, 44:2

significantly 12:2	54:7, 54:9, 54:24,	54:16, 55:20,
similar 24:13	55:7, 55:8, 56:11,	55:22, 56:3,
similarly 37:5,	56:15, 64:13	56:14, 59:2
49:11	Specifically 28:5,	statutes 42:21, 64:4
simple 45:4	30:13, 30:18,	statutory 39:15,
simply 24:4, 39:24,	31:4, 31:24, 32:6,	39:20, 49:22,
44:13, 45:6	48:12, 49:20,	52:11, 54:17,
simultaneous 13:17	52:17, 53:9,	54:18, 54:23,
simultaneously	53:10, 53:14,	55:8, 55:11, 56:15
10:18, 11:2	53:25, 54:2, 54:5,	Stay 36:3, 36:10,
single 14:17, 24:9,	54:10, 54:17,	44:6, 44:8, 44:18,
41:23, 50:7	54:18, 55:15,	47:21, 47:24,
sir 56:19	55:22, 56:1	51:17, 52:1, 60:9,
sit 38:4	spend 34:7	60:16, 63:10
sitting 34:25	spent 15:8, 17:10,	stayed 63:9
situated 37:5	24:11, 25:5, 25:8,	stem 36:21
situation 38:15,	44:15	stems 36:18
38:16, 61:12	Stadler 3:20, 15:24,	stenography 3:42
situations 14:17	15:25, 16:21,	step 8:8, 47:2
six 40:11	18:9, 18:16,	stepping 58:3
slash 27:13, 27:18,	18:18, 18:21,	steps 61:24
28:9, 29:2	18:23, 19:2, 20:7,	Stern 5:25
slight 32:4	22:2, 23:10,	stipulation 8:13,
slightly 21:13	23:15, 29:19,	27:22, 28:14,
small 35:14, 45:8,	29:24	28:17, 29:1
63:16	staff 65:5, 65:6	streamline 35:10
so-called 14:23	stage 59:4	streamlined 34:1
solicitation 31:4	standard 39:5, 39:12	strongly 20:12,
solvency 52:19	standards 20:13,	20:25
somebody 45:22, 46:7	21:9	structural 21:18
somehow 10:25	standing 47:3, 48:8,	structure 34:17,
someone 22:8, 27:2,	48:14, 49:17,	48:10
29:13	51:12	studying 25:18
somewhat 10:21	start 22:13	submissions 47:16,
Sonnax 51:18, 57:6,	starting 7:2, 24:10	48:6, 63:2, 63:4
57:7, 57:20, 61:13	state 41:4, 60:10	submit 13:7, 33:12,
soon 12:19, 16:14,	stated 51:20, 54:6	61:6
28:23, 34:10	statement 8:16,	submitted 47:13,
sooner 28:22, 29:8,	64:23	47:15, 57:3
29:10	statements 46:18	submitting 16:11,
Sorry 52:2, 61:15	States 1:1, 2:23,	30:2
sorts 64:10	53:14, 54:10,	subset 14:19
sought 50:2	54:17, 54:18,	substance 7:10
sound 6:7	55:6, 55:22, 56:1,	substantial 10:9
sounding 13:2	66:7	substantive 29:7,
Southern 49:9	stating 21:3, 52:17	32:7, 41:3, 42:20
Spanish 32:10	status 5:16, 5:20,	substations 11:13
speakers 18:11	6:3, 7:18, 8:3,	successfully 16:18
specific 14:16,	26:23, 26:25	sufficient 9:16
52:16, 52:18,	Statute 41:11, 43:6,	suggest 26:1

suggestion 17:8
summary 12:25
summer 15:13
superior 58:7
supplement 27:5,
61:7
supplemental 28:6,
61:9, 61:14, 63:4,
64:13, 64:14
Support 11:18, 18:6,
49:23
supporting 13:14
supposed 46:4
surprise 55:5, 63:21
surprised 24:6, 26:6
suspect 24:12
Suzanne 3:3, 56:24
Swain 2:22, 5:18,
66:7
System 13:5, 13:9,
52:15, 52:24,
52:25, 53:1, 53:17

< T >

T. 2:44, 2:45
talked 20:13
tax 24:9, 24:10,
25:1, 25:2, 25:5,
25:7, 25:14,
25:15, 26:16
taxes 25:15, 25:20
Taylor 2:22, 66:7
technically 17:3
telephonic 5:8
ten 19:18, 41:24,
48:18, 55:6, 56:6
ten. 31:1
tend 19:18
tending 19:21
term 9:20
terms 8:3, 9:7,
10:11, 14:5,
26:15, 43:5, 51:7,
53:23, 54:9, 64:6
test 42:24
thanks 21:25
themselves 22:17,
22:23, 32:5, 62:15
therein 41:12

thinking 38:20
third 16:3, 22:14,
22:21, 43:7, 54:21
though 27:11, 31:21
three 16:17, 23:9,
42:25, 53:14,
53:24
timekeepers 17:10
timekeeping 16:25
timeline 20:9
timely 35:5
timetable 15:9
timetables 8:4
timing 12:15, 25:12,
25:21
tinkering 8:22
Title 1:8, 8:5,
14:14, 31:23,
36:16, 46:25,
47:4, 48:1, 55:16,
60:7
Today 36:13, 46:13,
47:17, 51:24,
61:15, 64:21, 65:5
together 15:12,
65:10
toll 15:5
tomorrow 34:3, 61:15
took 17:9, 18:2
totaling 54:4
towards 8:14
track 61:15
transaction 13:4,
26:14, 37:14,
38:17
transactions 38:14
Transcript 3:42,
66:4
transcription 66:5
transfer 55:12
transferred 54:21,
59:6, 59:11,
59:13, 59:17,
59:24, 60:1, 62:18
transform 10:19,
12:23
transformation
12:22, 13:18, 14:2
translation 56:7
transmission 11:13,

11:14, 13:5, 13:9
transparent 7:7
Transportation 2:14
Traurig 40:6
travel 33:6
travels 65:8
treatment 21:10,
21:13
trepidation 63:17
tricky 10:21
tried 16:21
true 66:5
truly 26:20
Trust 36:22, 41:9,
41:10, 41:22,
42:15, 43:5, 43:8,
43:24, 45:13,
45:15, 45:18,
46:2, 47:5, 48:11,
48:16, 48:25,
49:3, 49:5, 49:18,
49:25, 50:23, 51:6
Trustee 2:34, 31:13,
36:19, 37:6, 38:3,
39:11, 39:18,
40:9, 41:18,
41:19, 43:9,
44:25, 45:18,
45:19, 49:11, 51:8
try 17:9, 20:22,
31:3
trying 8:10, 12:5,
13:23, 23:3,
23:11, 57:23
turn 15:19, 22:7
turning 49:20
two 8:17, 9:5,
10:17, 10:21,
23:4, 23:9, 23:16,
33:5, 41:15,
42:21, 43:15,
43:18, 45:14,
51:5, 53:18, 57:2
type 14:4, 25:19,
30:24, 31:19,
44:15, 53:6, 57:22
types 9:4, 44:11

< U >

Uhland 3:3, 34:25,
56:24
ultimately 38:17
unanticipated 45:24
unauthorizedly 62:18
unavoidable 24:19
underlined 54:14
underlying 44:13
understand 10:2,
22:7, 24:7, 29:5,
39:2, 57:7, 57:14,
57:19, 57:22,
58:1, 58:6, 59:25,
60:11
understanding 53:4
understates 17:14
Understood 25:13,
64:2
undo 62:15
unfold 39:3
unfounded 51:2
uniform 19:3
uniformly 19:19
unilaterally 48:14
uninsured 11:19,
12:6, 12:14
union 52:19, 53:2
unions 52:13, 54:13,
62:16
United 1:1, 2:23,
66:6
Unless 7:16, 34:4,
41:24
unlike 10:16
unpersuasive 49:12
Unsecured 2:38,
27:12, 27:18,
27:21, 28:9,
34:24, 42:12,
60:21, 61:12
until 63:2
unusual 47:5
upcoming 27:10
updated 12:25
UPR 14:12, 14:13
user 17:4
uses 17:5
using 17:16
usual 65:2

< V >

value 36:15
variety 43:13
various 5:24, 45:19,
57:6, 64:3
Vegabaje 3:17, 52:2,
52:9, 53:22
vehicle 57:9
Velaz 3:5
VI 8:5, 14:14
video 6:2, 6:13,
64:24, 65:2
view 23:7
violate 45:23
violation 49:18
vis-a-vis 49:11
visioning 14:2
voice 46:13
voluntarily 39:9,
52:21
voluntary 53:1

< W >

waiting 34:17
waive 39:9
Walker 66:11, 66:12
wanted 7:11, 24:1,
26:23, 27:5,
27:11, 28:15,
32:9, 63:19, 64:3
wants 24:3, 45:8
ways 45:24
Wednesday 61:15
week 11:25, 16:4,
29:3, 61:15, 62:23
weekly 9:17, 11:10,
17:15
weeks 8:17, 23:4
weigh 57:7
welcome 5:5, 18:9,
44:21
well-documented
43:17
well-founded 36:23
well-taken 36:23
whatever 26:19,
59:21
whether 14:23, 19:9,

38:7, 38:9, 49:21
Whitmore 2:44,
43:21, 44:22,
44:23, 47:9
Williamson 3:19,
15:20, 15:22,
16:1, 20:11,
20:25, 21:16,
22:10, 22:11,
22:12, 24:3, 29:19
willing 14:5, 14:6
Willkie 29:13
wish 65:8
wished 22:8
wishes 64:20
withholding 24:10,
25:10, 25:11,
25:13
within 30:10, 52:17,
52:25, 62:23
without 21:2, 48:20,
60:1, 60:2
withstanding 16:24,
17:16, 49:16
WITNESSES 4:3
words 42:2
work 6:20, 7:3,
7:21, 17:14, 18:7,
20:22, 21:17,
21:22, 38:2, 65:4,
65:6
worked 16:18, 44:3
working 12:3, 15:8,
17:19, 20:20,
20:21, 24:11,
26:2, 33:24, 34:9,
34:23, 34:25,
65:10
works 35:1
wrap-up 18:13
writings 56:18

< Y >
year 7:24, 10:10,
19:22, 19:23,
23:6, 35:7, 52:12,
56:4
years 19:18
yesterday 8:7, 26:5

yield 7:17, 39:24
York 2:31, 5:7,
5:12, 6:1, 12:1,
22:5, 22:8, 26:24,
27:2, 29:13,
29:14, 31:14,
49:9, 64:24, 65:3,
65:6